Using High-res. WRF Simulations to Improve Convective Parameterization in CAM

Aiguo Dai

AMWG, NCAR, March 3, 2009

Acknowledgements: Zhuxiao Li did most of the analyses, Changhai Liu provided the WRF simulations Jimy Dudhia provided helpful comments

Motivation



 Deep Convection fires too frequently in CAM: drizzling too much, a common problem in most GCMs

Surface Obs.

CAM3fv



• Cause: deep convection is allowed whenever there exists positive CAPE (>75 J/kg) in CAM.



- Rogers & Fritsch'96 proposed a general framework for convective trigger functions:
 W > Wneg, but their estimate of the subgrid vertical velocity w is not suitable for GCMs.
- Bretherton et al.'04 assumed a Gaussian subgrid distribution of w at the inversion layer, with the variance=0.5*TKE (turbulence kinetic energy within the PBL), but TKE is not well resolved at GCM resolution, especially over complex terrain.

A New Trigger/Closure Function for CAM

 Convection occurs only over a subgrid area where w > Wneg within a CAM grid box if there exists such an area.





Subgrid Distribution of W

• PDF(w) = $f(\sigma_h, SHFLX, etc.)$, σ_h =s.d.of elevation within a GCM box

• A WRF 2-km simulation over the western U.S. was used to determine this PDF(w). Convection is resolved explicitly in this simulation.

Daily Precipitation During June 19-21,2007



WRF 2-km w distribution within a CAM grid box Approximately Gaussian



S.D. of w vs. S.D. of Elevation

NCAR



S.D. of w vs. Mean SH Flux







Future Work



- We are still analyzing WRF simulations to validate whether W > Wneg is a good trigger function.
- We are trying to make and analyze 500m WRF simulations.
- We will then apply the Gaussian w distribution and Wneg (estimated from CAM T and q profiles) to compute the factional area (f*N) for deep convection within a CAM grid.





S.D. of w vs. Mean Sfc. Air Temp.

NCAR

