# Towards Decadal Prediction with CCSM4

Stephen Yeager, Gokhan Danabasoglu, Joe Tribbia, Jeff Anderson, Tim Hoar, Nancy Collins, Mariana Vertenstein, Nathan Hearn

> OMWG Meeting, Boulder, CO December 11, 2009

# Outline

- I. Intro -- the experience with CCSM3.5
- II. The Initial Conditions -- ocean, ocean-ice, and Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART) hindcast runs
- I. Short & Long-term plans



**b35.012**: CCSM3.5 fully coupled 1870-2030

g3\_5\_19.11: CCSM3.5 ocean-ice coupled hindcast (1949-2006)

**b0100**: branched from (1) at 1980, nudged to (2) from 1980 through 1999 ( $\tau = 40$  day)







nudged

**CNTRL** 





1980-1999

#### 2020-2029



S'



σ

S'

b35.012, 2020-2029, 0-100m RHO anom

b0100, 2020-2029, 0-100m RHO anom





σ

**CNTRL** 



- b35.012 cold/fresh/light Lab Sea; warm/salty/dense Irminger Sea
  → deep convection in Irminger Sea only throughout
- b0100 pre-2000: warm/salty/dense Lab Sea; warm/salty/dense Irminger Sea
  post-2000: cold/fresh/light Lab Sea; warm/saltier/denser Irminger Sea
  → deep convection transitions out of LAB and becomes stronger in Irminger

- I. Intro the experience with CCSM3.5
  - Large transients due to major discrepancy between the ocean ic biases and the coupled model preferred biases
  - Expect Atlantic upperocean salinity/density bias in ocean ic to "dial in" AMOC strength

# **CCSM4 Hindcast Integrations**



• DART: ensemble mean of 23-member 1998-1999 ocean-only hindcast (assimilating WOD daily T,S)

**Thanks Peta-Apps!** 

Ocean-ice

# **CCSM4 Hindcast Integrations**



Ocean-only



#### • AMOC strength scales with subpolar gyre density (salinity):



• CCSM4 POP needs this magnitude positive S' and  $\sigma$ ' needed to match observed AMOC

• Positive Mixed Boundary Condition feedbacks which amplify warm, salty NATL biases are weaker in CCSM4 than CCSM3.5 due to:

- POP: Overflow parameterization
- POP: Horizontally-varying background diffusivity
- POP: Submesoscale mixing parameterization
- CICE: delta-Eddington shortwave transfer, melt ponds, aerosols

 As a result, ocean-ice hindcast ice distribution is more compatible with OBS and the fully coupled CCSM4 → Good news for decadal prediction







# Ocean-only



# Ocean-ice



1999

BSF



DWBC (3000m)

ocean hindcast w/ DART

ocean hindcast

1999





# ocean hindcast w/ DART

ocean hindcast

# Short Term Plan

- $\checkmark$  Generate 2000 ic's for ocn (& ice) from best CORE2 hindcast (CCSM4, ocean-ice,  $\tau = 4$  yr)
- ✓ Generate 2000 ic's for ocn from a DART hindcast ensemble (1998-1999, ocean only)
- Generate 2000 ic's for atm & Ind from a 1990-2000 AMIP-style run using surface BC's from CORE2 hindcast (~days)
- 2000-2005 20<sup>th</sup> Cent projection tests using various ic's (~weeks):
  - 1. HINDCAST/AMIP :

ocn & ice (weak restored ocean-ice hindcast), atm (AMIP), Ind (AMIP)

- DART/AMIP : ocn (DART hindcast), ice (strong restored ocean-ice hindcast), atm (AMIP), Ind (AMIP)
- 3. HINDCAST/20C :

ocn & ice (weak restored ocean-ice hindcast), atm (20thC), Ind (20thC)

4. DART/20C :

ocn (DART hindcast), ice (strong restored ocean-ice hindcast), atm (20thC), Ind (20thC)

# Longer Term Plans/Ideas

- Generate 2000 ic's for ocn from an ocean-only DART hindcast ensemble, forced with output from an ensemble of data-assimilated CAM runs (~weeks)
- ? Generate 2000 ic's for ocn/ice from an ocean-ice coupled DART hindcast ensemble, forced with CORE2 or CAM DA ensemble
- ? Generate 2000 ic's for ocn/ice/atm/Ind from a fully coupled 20<sup>th</sup> Cent run with ocean data assimilation (ocn = DART ensemble)
- Generate 2000 ic's for ocn/ice/atm/Ind from a fully coupled 20<sup>th</sup> Cent run with multi-model data assimilation (atm, ocn = DART ensembles)
- CMIP5 fully-coupled CCSM4 decadal projections\* (~months-year):
  - I. 10-year hindcast & prediction ensembles initialized at 1970, 1975, 1980, ..., 2005
  - II. 30-year hindcast & prediction ensembles initialized at 1960, 1980, 2005
  - III. 10-year prediction ensembles initialized at 2001, 2002, ..., 2009

\*Taylor, Stouffer, and Meehl, 2008: "A Summary of the CMIP5 Experiment Design", WCRP Working Group on Coupled Modelling

### ocean-ice hindcasts



Major differences between **black** and **blue** :

- POP: Overflow parameterization
- POP: Horizontally-varying background diffusivity
- POP: Submesoscale mixing parameterization
- CICE: delta-Eddington shortwave transfer, melt ponds, aerosols







0

nudged

**CNTRL** 



# Exploring MOC initialization for future projection runs



→ expect ocean/ice initialization to be (the?) key factor in projections of future North Atlantic climate using fully coupled CCSM4



CCSM4 Ocean-ice  $\tau = 4yr$ 

CCSM3.5 Ocean-ice  $\tau = 4yr$