The VOCALS Assessment (VOCA)

Matt Wyant, Chris Bretherton, Rob Wood Department of Atmospheric Sciences University of Washington

Scott Spak, U. Iowa (Emissions) Cecile Hannay, NCAR (CAM5) Yanluan Lin, GFDL (AM3)

Other modeling groups

VOCALS: A CLIVAR study of SE Pacific cool ocean/Sc region.

**REx: Large field expt off N Chile** 

- Oct.-Nov. 2008
- cloud/aerosol/land interactions
- role of mesoscale ocean eddies

PreVOCA: Atmospheric model assessment for Oct. 2006 using SE Pac satellite, ship obs.











- PreVOCA compared 15 regional, weather forecast, and climate models (in forecast mode) for October 2006 in the VOCALS region.
- Many models had large errors in distribution of low cloud cover, though ECMWF and UKMO performed well.
- Most models produced a marine BL too shallow near the coast at 20S.
- Most models qualitatively captured diurnal and day-to-day variability of the cloud and BL despite mean biases.
- Global models outperformed most regional models.

## The VOCALS Assessment (VOCA): Motivations

- Make use of extensive REx in-situ aircraft/ship datasets
- Emphasize chemical/aerosol transport and cloud-aerosol interaction.
- Do models simulate the variation of droplet concentration N<sub>d</sub> along 20S?
- Is anthropogenic sulfate the main contributor to geographic  $N_d$  variation?
- What controls  $N_d$  in remote ocean regions?
- What is the simulated indirect effect due to anthropogenic aerosols perturbing clouds and net TOA radiative flux in the VOCALS domain?

# **VOCA Overview**

- Similar protocol to PreVOCA.
- REx period: 15 Oct -15 Nov 2008.
- Aerosol Species: SO<sub>4</sub>, sea salt, dust, black carbon, organic carbon
- Gas Species: SO<sub>2</sub>, DMS, CO, O<sub>3</sub>
- Emissions of aerosol and gas species are specified in a standard protocol for regional models.



- Compare cloud-top effective radius with satellite.
- Geoengineering experiment: Set  $N_d = 375$  cm<sup>-3</sup> everywhere.
- Initial results are coming in now.



#### Participating Models

	Center or Group	Model
		(Regional or Global)
	PNNL	WRF-Chem
	U. Iowa	STEM
	ECMWF	ECMWF CY33r1
	UK Met Office	UKMO
	NCAR	CAM4 and CAM5
	GFDL	AM 3p9
	UW	COSMO
	UCLA	WRF-ROMS
	UCSD	RSM (coupled)
	COLA	RSM
	IPRC	iRAM
	NRL	COAMPS
	UCLA	UCLA AGCM
	LMD	LMDZ

Interactive Aerosols

## Monthly-mean results (16 Oct – 15 Nov 2008) Low cloud fraction



#### Liquid water path



#### Surface precipitation



In-situ on 20S: 0.1-0.5 mm/d at 80-85W, negligible at 70-75W (Breth et al. 2010).

#### Mean 20S cross-sections – cld frac and LWC



#### Mean 20S cross-sections – CCN and $N_d$



Bretherton et al. 2010

## Temporal variability



Bretherton et al. 2010 ACP

#### DMS (no SO2/sulfate plots available yet)



## Conclusions

- VOCA suggests that CAM5 is doing a fairly good job with SE Pacific clouds and aerosols compared to observations.
- There may be issues with droplet number concentration.
- VOCA should be a valuable resource/protocol for further CAM5 testing and improvement.





## **Emissions Inventory (Scott Spak)**

- SO<sub>2</sub>, VOCs, CO
- CONAMA Chilean Inventory point sources, municipal mobile, residential sources
- SO<sub>2</sub> Peruvian smelters and volcano estimates from OMI PBL SO<sub>2</sub>
- Elsewhere use global inventories: EDGAR FT 2000 and Bond et al. (2004) for black carbon and organic carbon.
- Inclusion of daily biomass emissions using MODIS detection of fires from C. Wiedinmyer is being investigated.

#### SO<sub>2</sub> Area Sources



SO<sub>2</sub> Point Sources

