# The role of North Atlantic Ocean dynamics in simulating glacial inception: a study with CCSM4

#### Feng He, Steve J. Vavrus, John E. Kutzbach Center for Climatic Research, University of Wisconsin-Madison

### William F. Ruddiman

Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia



# CCSM4 simulations of Glacial inception



http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2011/04/an-emerging-view-on-early-land-use/

CCSM4 Simulations: 3 fully-coupled & 3 slab ocean runs

# Ocean dynamics:

difference between fully-coupled and slab-ocean runs

1º resolution	Fully-Coupled (FC)	Slab Ocean Model (SOM)
PD	355 ppm	355 ppm
PI	285 ppm	285 ppm
NA (NoAnthro)	245 ppm	245 ppm

**CCSM4 Simulations:** 

# initialization of fully-coupled runs

Fully-coupled run	Initialization	Run length
PD	Year 1990 of NCAR 20th Century Ensemble Member (b40.20th.track1.1deg.004)	360 years
PI (NCAR)	Performed by NCAR	1,300 years
NA (NoAnthro)	Year 1300 of NCAR Pre-Industrial Control run (b40.1850.track1.1deg.006)*	180 years

NA run: \*With modified T,S initial condition to account for the cooling from lowered GHG

**CCSM4 simulations:** 135-year slab ocean runs: equilibrium is OK Climatology is calculated as the average of the last 50 years PD PI NA 13.70 16.4 (O) 13.60 entration (O) 13.50 entration (O) 13.40 13.40 -13.20 -13.20 -() 16.0 -15.6 -) 14.20 -14.10 -14.00 Global 15.2 -E 14.8 13.10 SAT 13.90 13.80 14.0 110 120 TOM Std Dev = 0.19 Std Dev = OM Std Dev = 0.28 SURF Std Dev = 0.27 OM Std Dev = 0.23 SURF Std Dev = -TOM-SU SURF SURF SURF Top of 1.0 atmosphere 0.5 net radiation flux -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.5 -1.5



#### **SOM Run (NA vs. PI)** Global Surface temperature:



Min = 213.35 Max = 303.29

210 220 230 240 250 260 270 275 280 285 290 295 300 305 310



CO<sub>2</sub> (245ppm vs. 285 ppm)

 $\Delta T$ = -0.90 K

Radiative forcing  $\Delta F$ = -1.01 W/m<sup>2</sup>

Climate Sensitivity:  $\Delta T/\Delta F^* \Delta F_{2xco2}$ 

= (-0.90)/(-1.01)\*3.7 = 3.3 K

Consistent with Bitz et.al (2012): 3.2 K

#### SOM Run (NA vs. PI) Permanent (12-month) snow:

CO<sub>2</sub> (245ppm vs. 285 ppm)

NA: 8.6 million km<sup>2</sup>

PI: 6.9 million km<sup>2</sup>

NA-PI: 1.7 million km<sup>2</sup> (25% more than PI)



# Climate transition in CCSM4 SOM runs: NA-PI vs. PI-PD



Without ocean dynamics, the climate transition is quasilinear with respect to the radiative forcing

•10

## Fully-coupled run (NA vs. PI) Global Surface temperature:



Min = 213.17 Max = 302.94

210 220 230 240 250 260 270 275 280 285 290 295 300 305 310

#### b40.NOANTHROa - b40.1850.track1.1deg.006

mean = -1.42

rmse = 1.96

Κ



Min = -16.03 Max = 0.80

-5 -4 -3 -2 -1 -0.5 -0.2 0 0.2 0.5 1 2 3 4 5

CO<sub>2</sub> (245ppm vs. 285 ppm)

ΔT= -1.42 K, ~60% more than SOM(0.90K)

Climate sensitivity:  $\Delta T/\Delta F^* \Delta F_{2xco2}$ 

= (-1.42)/(-1.01)\*3.7 = 5.2 K

Climate sensitivity for NA/PI transition in fully-coupled run is ~60% larger than SOM (3.2 K)

#### Fully-coupled run (NA vs. PI) Permanent (12-month) snow:

CO<sub>2</sub> (245ppm vs. 285 ppm)

NA: 10.3 million km<sup>2</sup>

PI: 6.2 million km<sup>2</sup>

NA-PI: 4.1 million km<sup>2</sup>, 140% more than SOM (1.7 million km<sup>2</sup>)



### SOM Run (NA vs. PI) Permanent (12-month) snow:

CO<sub>2</sub> (245ppm vs. 285 ppm)

NA: 8.6 million km<sup>2</sup>

PI: 6.9 million km<sup>2</sup>

NA-PI: 1.7 million km<sup>2</sup>



### Fully-coupled Run (PI vs. PD) Global Surface temperature:



Min = 214.47 Max = 304.21

210 220 230 240 250 260 270 275 280 285 290 295 300 305 310

# 



CO<sub>2</sub> (285ppm vs. 355 ppm)

#### ΔT= -1.37 K

Climate sensitivity:  $\Delta T/\Delta F^* \Delta F_{2xco2}$ = (-1.37)/(-2.05)\*3.7

= 2.5 K

Climate sensitivity for PI/PD transition in fully-coupled run is ~20% less than SOM (3.2 K)

# Climate transition in fully-coupled runs: NA-PI vs. PI-PD



With ocean dynamics, the climate transition is nonlinear.
Larger climate sensitivity during colder climate transition.<sup>15</sup>

# Larger climate sensitivity during colder climate transition in fully-coupled runs

Manabe & Bryan (1985)





Fig. A2. The area mean surface air temperature from two series of the  $CO_2$  experiments. The thick solid line denotes the MI model. The thin solid line denotes the MII model.

Manabe & Bryan (1985) 16

# Ocean dynamics increases the climate sensitivity during colder climate transition NA - PI

Slab Ocean

-0.25 -0.5 -1 -1.5

> -2 -3

> > -6

FC-SOM(NA-PI)

0°

90°W

dynamics

•17

Surface temperature (radiative) mean = -1.4259 (K) Surface temperature (radiative) mean = -0.901062 (K) 90°N 90°N 6 з 3 2 2 45°N 45°N 1.5 1.5 1 1 0.5 0.5 0.25 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.25 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1 -1.5 -1.5 45°S 45°S -2 -2 -3 -3 SOM(NA-PI):e40.NOANTHROc-e40.NOANTHROb (ann) -6 FC(NA-PI):b40.NOANTHROa-b40.1850.track1.1deg.006 (ann) -6 90°S 90°S 90°E 180° 90°W ٥° 90°E 180° 90°W 0° Surface temperature (radiative) mean = -0.524841 (K) Fully-coupled 2 1.5 1 Ocean 0.5 minus 0.25

180°

Slab Ocean

Fully-coupled

0°

45°S

90°S

0°

90°E

# Ocean dynamics causes larger sea ice increase south of Greenland (PI/NA)

# PI (280 ppm)





b40.NOANTHROa - e40.NOANTHROc

#### b40.1850.track1.1deg.006 - e40.NOANTHROb



# JFM sea ice concentration

# Ocean convection is shut off south of Greenland (PI/NA transition)

### PI (280 ppm)





#### **Mixed-Layer Depth**

NA (245 ppm)

PI-NA

50°N

ΕQ

50°S

# Suppressed ocean convection accelerates sea ice growth south of Greenland during PI/NA transition



# Suppressed ocean convection accelerates sea ice growth



#### AMOC ្ត S ŝ PI: ~26 Sv • ~24 Sv 200 400 600 800 10001200

AMOC in all three simulations are about 25 Sv. So AMOC does not contribute to the nonlinearity of the climate sensitivity

PI has the largest AMOC

Not clear why the collapse of the deep water formation does not affect the strength of AMOC during PI/NA transition

# Conclusion

Fully-coupled CCSM4 simulations exhibit nonlinear climate sensitivity, with larger climate sensitivity during colder climate transitions (Manabe & Bryan 1985)

Ocean dynamics reduces climate sensitivity during PI/PD transition, but amplifies climate sensitivity during NA/PI transition

During NA/PI transition, the larger climate sensitivity results from the positive feedback between sea ice formation and ocean convection south of Greenland

For PI/NA transition, ocean dynamics amplifies the cooling by 57% (1.42 vs. 0.90 K), and amplify the increase of the permanent snow by 140% (4.1 vs 1.7 million km2)

# Larger climate sensitivity during colder time

Without the 40 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> increase from early agriculture, incipient glacial inception might have begun in late Holocene





Fig. A2. The area mean surface air temperature from two series of the  $CO_2$  experiments. The thick solid line denotes the MI model. The thin solid line denotes the MII model.