# Estimates of radiative forcing from fires predicted by CLM

D. S. Ward, N. Mahowald, P. Hess – Cornell University
 S. Kloster – Max Planck Institute, Hamburg
 B. Rogers, J. Randerson – UC Irvine



Ward et al., 2012

### **Experiment Overview**

- Isolate impacts of fires by running CLM with global fires and without global fires
- Use the change in C stocks from fires to estimate CO2 RF
  - C lost from fires -> fire emissions of other trace gases/aerosols
- CLM version 3.5 with the CN extension
- > Kloster et al. (2010) fire model
- Preindustrial spinup with and without fires
- Transient CO2 (A1B1) and LULCC (RCP4.5) for 1800-2100
- Future atmospheric forcing = Qian et al. (2006) 1948-1972 reanalysis cycled with CCSM and ECHAM5 climate anomalies applied
- Year 2000 N deposition used for 2000-2100

How do we estimate fire activity/emissions for past and future time periods?

- Kloster et al. (2010, 2012) fire model in NCAR Community Land Model v3.5 (CLM3)
- Includes PFT-specific combustion completeness and mortality
- ➢ But no DGVM
- Probability-based model:
  - Biomass abundance
  - ➢ Fuel moisture
  - Ignition source (also suppression)
- Area burned per grid cell is then a function of these probabilities and windspeed



Wikipedia, "Fire triangle"







Compare C stored in land surface –

- How much CO2 remains in the atmosphere because of fires?
- RF estimated from calculation of the new partitioning of C between atm/lnd/ocn pools when fires are removed





Simulate year 2000 climate using the **Community Atmosphere Model (versions 4 and 5)** with 1850, 2000 and 2100 trace gas and aerosol emissions

One set of simulations with all emissions

One set of simulations without fire emissions



CHEM – MOZART chemistry (Lamarque et al., GMDD, 2011) CAM4, 2-deg, 24 months post-spinup AERO – 3-mode aerosol model (Liu et al., in prep) CAM5, 2-deg, 6-years post-spinup

### Fire impacts on land surface albedo





NewWest.net, 2012



Oregon State University, 2011

# Land Surface Albedo



> Fires change albedo by:

- Charring (-)
- Secondary vegetation (+)
- Clearing canopies (+)
- Clearing canopy above a snow-covered sfc (++)
- > Overall, RFs are negative
- Long-term effects tend to increase albedo

## CONCLUSIONS



1. Fires: positive RF that is largely balanced by CO<sub>2</sub> and the Aerosol IEs

- 2. This RF increases from 1850 -2000 and similarly for 1850-2100
- 3. Large uncertainties in IEs, land-use trajectories, CO<sub>2</sub> airborne fraction

# CONCLUSIONS



1. Fires: positive RF that is largely balanced by CO<sub>2</sub> and the Aerosol IEs

- 2. This RF increases from 1850 -2000 and similarly for 1850-2100
- 3. Large uncertainties in IEs, land-use trajectories, CO<sub>2</sub> airborne fraction

### Future direction

- 1. New fire model from Fang Li and Sam Levis (Li et al., 2012)
- 2. Interaction with DGVM
- 3. True coupling of fire emissions to CAM
  ➢ With more realistic emission episodicity (Clark et al., in prep)