## High Resolution CESM: Coupled Ocean/Ice Simulations using CORE Forcing

Julie McClean, Caroline Papadopoulos and Elena Yulaeva Scripps Institution of Oceanography

> David Bailey National Center for Atmospheric Science

> > Mathew Maltrud Los Alamos National Laboratory

Polar Climate Working Group Meeting Boulder, Colorado February 2013

**Funding**: Office of Science (BER) Department of Energy

Ultra High Resolution Global Climate Simulation to Explore and Quantify Predictive Skill for Climate Means, Variability and Extremes

Kate Evans (ORNL), Mat Maltrud (LANL), Julie McClean (SIO), Caroline Papadopoulos (SIO), Milena Veneziani (LANL), Marcia Branstetter (ORNL), Elena Yulaeva (SIO)

James Hack (ORNL), Phil Jones (LANL), Mark Taylor (SNL) Bill Collins (LBNL), Dave Bader(LLNL)

## **Experimental Plan and Status**

- Initial state exploration, sensitivity
  - 0.1° forced POP-CICE, 24 years completed. Use for initialization of high resolution preindustrial and present day transient simulations.
- T341 Experiments
  - T341/0.1° POP-CICE preindustrial (CAM4 physics): 43 years completed
- CAM-SE Experiments
  - 0.25°/0.1° POP-CICE preindustrial (CAM4 physics): evaluated against T341.
  - CAM-SE used for all future work, including ensemble of late 20<sup>th</sup> century/ early 21<sup>st</sup> century transients
- T85 Comparative Experiments.
  - T85/x1° POP-CICE preindustrial for comparison to "standard" CCSM 4 release
  - Ensemble of late late 20<sup>th</sup> century/ early 21<sup>st</sup> century transients to test initialization strategy.

## **Specific Project Goals**

- Use a suite of 1.0° POP/CICE simulations (gx1v6 grid) in the CESM framework run with CORE2 IAF (1970-2009) to provide guidance for the global 0.1° POP/CICE set-up.
- 2. Perform a multi-decadal (1970-2009) global 0.1° ocean/ice simulation, using POP and CICE in the CESM framework, forced with interannually varying atmospheric reanalysis fluxes (CORE2 IAF).
- 3. Provide restarts from (2) as initial fields for CESM T341 and CAM-SE simulations. Use (2) as a measure of "truth" to validate ocean/ice in fully-coupled CESM.

## **Delta–Eddington:** Multiple Scattering Parameterization for Solar Radiation Transfer in Snow/Ice (Briegleb and Light, 2007)

## **Parameters:**

- dT\_mlt\_in and rsnw\_mlt\_in determine end points of the linear increase in snow grain size during melt.
- dT\_mlt\_in: temperature threshold at which melt begins.
- Rsnw\_mlt\_in is the maximum snow grain radius at 0°C. Range is 10-2500 μm.
- Standard settings are:
  - dT\_mlt\_in=1.5
  - Rsnw\_mlt\_in = 1500 µm
- For CORE2 data atmosphere we are using:
  - dT\_mlt\_in=1
  - Rsnw\_mlt\_in = 1000 µm
  - Delays the melt until -1°C and the snow grains grow to a maximum of 1mm in size.
- The smaller the snow grain radius the higher the albedo.

Run: POP-CICE (Gx1v6)	Experiment (all use Delta-Eddington)	Initial Ice	Surface Forcing
Α	"Control" rsnw_melt_in=1500 dT_melt_in=1.5	Prescribed initial ice.	CORE IAF
В	Seasonal cycle adjustments to LWDN and air temp in Arctic in data atm (based on Sheba and Maykut82 data). rsnw_melt_in=1500 dT_melt_in=1.5	Prescribed initial ice.	CORE IAF
C	rsnw_melt_in=1000 dT_melt_in=1.0	Prescribed initial ice	CORE IAF
D	Seasonal cycle adjustments to LWDN and air temp in Arctic in data atm. & rsnw_melt_in=1000 dT_melt_in=1.0	Prescribed initial ice	CORE IAF

## Ice Thickness (m): POP-CICE gx1v6 for Feb-Mar 1989

ICESAT Feb-Mar 2004-2008



## Ice Thickness Differences (m): POP-CICE gx1v6 Feb-Mar 1989 – IceSAT Feb-Mar 2004-2008.



### Ice Thickness Feb-Mar 2003-2007Aggregated Ice Area Feb-Mar 2003-2007



## Global 0.1° POP/CICE

- CESM framework (1.03/1.04) on Hopper at NERSC.
- Years 1970-1994 to date, will continue through 2009.
- Forcing: CORE2 data atmosphere.
- 0.1° tripole grid for both POP and CICE.
- Sensitivities: initial ocean and ice conditions, NY or IAF forcing
- Solar radiation transfer parameterization for sea-ice/snow was CCSM3 default for 1970-1979 (model spin-up period).
- Switched to D-Edd (dt\_mlt\_in = 1, and rsnw\_mlt\_in = 1000) at the end of 1979. This delays the melt until -1°C and the snow grains grow to a maximum of 1mm in size.
- Also changes LWDN and air temperature in CORE2 at end of 1979.

### t0.1v2 CESM vs ICESAT

m

m

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.75

0.5

0.25

0

-0.25

-0.5

-1

-1.5

 $^{-2}$ 

-2.5

5

4.5

t0.1v2 1989-1993 Feb\_Mar

### Feb-Mar 1989-1993

## 0.1° POP/ CICE



IceSAT



t0.1v2 - ICESAT

### t0.1v2 CESM vs ICESAT



2.5

2

1.5

1

0.75

0.5

0.25

0.1

0.05

m

t0.1v2 - ICESAT



### Oct-Nov 1989-1993

t0.1v2 vs SSM/I

Oct-Nov

1989-1993

CESM t0.1v2 Oct-Nov 1989-1993 ice area (aggregated)

#### 99 95 90 85 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 15 10 5

SSMI Oct-Nov 1989-1993 ice area (aggregated) %



CESM t0.1v2 - SSMI ice area



%

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

-40

-50

-60

### t0.1v2 vs SSM/I

CESM t0.1v2 Feb-Mar 1989-1993 ice area (aggregated)



SSMI Feb-Mar 1989-1993 ice area (aggregated) %



%

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

-10

-20

-30

-40

-50

-60

CESM t0.1v2 - SSMI ice area

### Model-Obs



### SSM/I

## 0.1° POP/ CICE

Feb-Mar

1989-1993

## Ice Drift (LHS) and Volume Dynamic (upper) and Thermodynamic (lower) Ice Tendencies for Feb-Mar 1989-1993 from 0.1° POP/CICE.



Magnitude of ice drift vectors (cm/sec)







## Ice Thickness (m) Ice Thickness (m) ne120\_f02\_t12\_B1850a (FM) 0006-0010 t341f02.B1850dEdd (FM) 0007-0011 CAM-SE CAM4 physics

Both use D-Edd standard settings



T341 CAM4 physics

Both initialized from 0.1°



## Ice Thickness (m)

#### t341f02.B1850dEdd (ON) 0034-0043



IceSat (ON) 2001-2005



## Ice Thickness (m)

t341f02.B1850dEdd (FM) 0034-0043







# Ice Concentration (%) for CAM-SE (LHS) and T341 (RHS) CESM Simulations



Black line is 15% concentration contour from SSM/I



## Conclusions

- 1° POP/CICE (gx1v6) sensitivity studies using CORE2 IAF show the most realistic Arctic ice thicknesses relative to IceSAT using D-Edd parameters: dT\_mlt\_in=1, Rsnw\_mlt\_in = 1000 µm and seasonal cycle adjustments to LWDN and air temperature in CORE2.
- In Feb-Mar & Oct-Nov 1989-1993, climatological ice thickness biases in 0.1° POP/CICE are 0.5-1 m too thin to the north of the Canadian Archipelago and in the western Arctic.
- 0.1° POP/CICE has more occurrences of fast ice drifts relative to IABP observations both in the Arctic and south of 80°N. Erroneous export of sea ice via the East Greenland and Labrador Current will result in erroneous freshwater export to the North Atlantic, impacting the AMOC.
- Early results from CAM-SE (CAM4 physics) indicate more realistic Arctic ice distributions and thickness than in T341 (CAM4 physics).