Upper Atmosphere Dynamics of WACCM-X constrained by MERRA and MARS data E Constrained

V. A. Yudin, H.-L. Liu, A.K. Smith, B. Foster, L.P. Goncharenko, and T. Tsuda

Motivations

- Constrain the low atmosphere by 6-hourly modern reanalyses (MERRA) preserving high-frequency waves (tides and 2-day).
- Evaluate model predictions by Middle Atmosphere Research Satellite (MARS) and ground-based observations
- Perform trial "on the fly" assimilation for O₃ and temperature with MARS data as "specific" extra-chain in WACCM/CAM: (Dynamical Cores – Physics – Data assimilation).
- List potential updates/revisions in CESM formulations initiated by diagnostics of persistent model-data differences
- **Provide "neutral atmosphere" archives of WACCM-X/MERRA** for studies of the atmosphere-ionosphere coupling during SSW.



Performing simulations in NCAR Whole Atmosphere Climate Community Models:

WACCM (88-lev top lid at ~ 140 km, right)

WACCM-X (116-lev, top lid at ~500 km, left)

Influence of the top lid position is evident above 10⁽⁻⁴⁾ hPa (~100 km, dash-white line) by comparing diurnal and semidiurnal tidal amplitudes.

Numerical reflections of tidal modes with large $L_z > 25$ km from ~140 km top lid in WACCM-140.

Why top lid of WACCM-X is important for vertical coupling by tides ?



WACCM and WACCM-X with GMAO products, as a forecast and data analysis system for Upper Atmosphere

• GMAO analysis products:

GEOS-5 is Goddard Earth Observing System with 72-lev (77 km) & HR: 2/3x0.5.

MERRA (1979-present) – Modern Era Retro analysis for Research and Applications ₅

- WACCM-GEOS5/MERRA (Kinnison et al.) VR of GEOS5 + 16 levels. in 77-140 km; HR (2.5°x1.9°) is ~ 4 times cruder
- WACCMX-GEOS5/MERRA -116 levels from the surface to ~ 500 km (Yudin et al.)
- Coupling WACCM and GEOS5/MERRA

 (a) through tendency: for(X=U, V, T)
 dX/dt= (Xwac- Xgeos)/Tau
 [surface ~40 km]
 dX/dt = 0, above 50 km;
 (b) n%-Nudging every time step (1%)



Constraining tides in models by SM and DA

- **Task-1:** Prevent tidal signatures simulated by models during DA. They can be degraded by multihour analysis update cycles, or/and nadir data with the restricted vertical resolutions, AMSU-A radiances near the stratopuase).
- **Task-2**: When data contain tidal signals, update the DA algorithms of NWP centers to assimilate properly tidal observations (temporal/vertical resolutions).
- **Task-3:** Demonstrate using OSSE studies what kind of the temporal and spatial data coverage in the UA-region is needed to advance the Space Weather applications influenced by tidal motions.

Green-true; Blue-DA; Red-Forecast



Model evaluation by data and meteo-analyses



Typical model-data differences

MLS & SABER vs WACCMX/MERRA T-discrepancies

a) polar SH MLT; very cold
b) warmer 90-110 km band;
c) polar night NH above 60km
d) Elevated winter stratopause

Winds, WACCM vs UARS and radars

- a) strong tropical E-ward winds above 80 km;
- *b)* strong wind reversal in extra-tropical *MLT*
- c) relatively weak amplitudes of tides, especially in U-winds.
- **Tracers:** Strong eddy mixing in coldest regions of MLT



Data Assimilation of Temperature and Ozone: 1. Zonal mean and PWs; 2. Tidal forcing

Chemical Data Assimilation, Chapter 4, 2010: Atmospheric Chemistry and Constituent Transport .Representation and Modelling of Uncertainties in Chemistry and Transport Models, Khattatov and Yudin.

Application of sequential filters on-the-fly in WACCM:

the resolution-sensitive filter-split algorithms for analysis of the space-borne chemical constituents and temperature.

For assimilation of tides:

frequent and "gentle" data constraining at every time-step



Joint O₃-T analysis in WACCM with MERRA: signatures of vertically-propagated waves and polar coupling



Single orbit T-structures

Zonal averaged 75-85 N T-anomalies

Lessons from constraining model by MERRA and MARS data: list of potential model updates

- Disassemble dry static energy as a model state variable during physics and update eddy diffusion operators. (solves in part cold MLT polar T-bias; and eliminate T-dependence of eddy heat conductivity/viscosity/diffusion).
- Consistent mass and energy conservation for physics and dynamical cores (accurate physics tendencies between parameterizations and d-p coupling)
- Scale-aware parameterization schemes for GWs with:

(a) new GW-MF closure;

(b) dual eddy viscosity (conductivity) and momentum (heat) depositions;(c) orchestrating oro-GWs and TMS.

Global Tidal Energies during SSW of 2009

WACCMX-SM1, constraining model by analysis tendencies with tau = 96 hr.

WACCMX-SM2, constraining model by 1%nudging at every model step.

WACCMX-climate, model no MERRAmeteorology







12-hr, Energy, WACCMX-SM1 140 120 height, km 100 80 **12-hr** 60 40 20 10 20 30 40 50 60 days, since Jan 1



WACCMX



WACCMX-MERRA-SM1

Tides during Jan-Feb of 2009



Tidal spectra during SSW of 2009 (equator)



During SSW "resonance" amplification of SW2 and SW0 in WACCM-X/MERRA , less evident with 1%-nudging and no features in WACCM-X/climate.

1) Ozone forcing; 2) SPW2 and S-24hr







24-hr

12-hr

8-hr

Tidal amplitudes before and during SSW-2009



Evaluation of WACCM-X/MERRA (revised GW-scheme) by MWR and ISR radars (2-day wave and 12-hr tide amplifications during SSW)



Evaluation of TEC variations in TIME-GCM with WACCM-X/MERRA neutral atmosphere below mesopause



SSW Jan 2009, solar-min

SSW Jan 2012:, Before (left) and during SSW and geo-storm (right)

Concluding remarks

Specified Meteorology below 40 km and DA of MARS data 'on-the-fly' in WACCM provide direct 'model-data' comparisons allowing to address the following aspects:

- Case studies of UA dynamics with realistic weather patterns during SSW events.
- New discipline/topic: how to assimilate MARS data and constrain fast-varying waves, tides and resolved IGWs.
- Information on model shortcomings: GW-schemes, diffusion operators, and energy conservation in Physics of WACCM.
- First WACCM-X/TIME-GCM one-way ionosphereatmosphere coupling during latest warming events.