



#### Modeling Root Hydraulic Redistribution in CLM4.5: Pitfalls and Gains

Jinyun Tang and Bill Riley

Earth Sciences Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

#### One Motivation for this Work: the "Likely ET Bias" from Vegetation Removal



 Removing vegetation increased ET in some places

#### Suspected Causes for the Likely ET Bias

- Soil resistance
- Boundary layer turbulent transport parameterization
- Time stepping
- Pedotransfer function for hydraulic properties
- Btran or root water uptake function
- Numerical solver of the Richards' equation
- Rooting depth and profile
- Saturated and unsaturated zone coupling
- Driving data, e.g. precipitation, soil texture
- Surface albedo parameterization
- Missing processes, e.g. hydraulic redistribution

### Investigated Two Possible Culprits (Tang and Riley 2013)

- Soil resistance
- Boundary layer turbulent transport parameterization
- Time stepping
- Pedotransfer function for hydraulic properties
- Btran or root water uptake function
- Numerical solver of the Richards' equation
- Rooting depth and profile
- Saturated and unsaturated zone coupling
- Driving data, e.g. precipitation, soil texture
- Surface albedo parameterization
- Missing processes, e.g. hydraulic redistribution



#### Recap: Explored potential causes



CESM LMWG/BGCWG, NCAR, Boulder

Tang and Riley, 2013<sup>6</sup>

## Effect of New Soil Resistance in CLM4.5 is Relatively Small



CESM LMWG/BGCWG, NCAR, Boulder

### Next Set of Suspected Culprits

- Soil resistance
- Boundary layer turbulent transport parameterization
- Time stepping
- Pedotransfer function
- Btran or root water uptake function
- Numerical solver of the Richards' equation
- Rooting depth and profile
- Saturated and unsaturated zone coupling
- Driving data, e.g. precipitation
- Missing processes, e.g., hydraulic redistribution
- Others, e.g. surface albedo parameterization

### Objectives

- Implement root hydraulic redistribution using the Amenu-Kumar model (HESS, 2008)
  *Hypothesis: Root hydraulic redistribution will* enhance ET over vegetated soil
- Discuss uncertainties on simulated global ET resulting from using three different pedotransfer functions (Cosby eq. 4, Cosby eq. 5, and Noilhan-Planton) and numerical implementations
  *Hypothesis: interpreting ET is clouded by many uncertainties*

#### The Amenu-Kumar model





#### Sequential Coupling vs. Tight Coupling

#### Sequential model

• Process-splitting method Step 1: solve Richards' equation  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K_{sh} \left( \frac{\partial \psi_{sm}}{\partial z} - 1 \right) \right] - K_{rh,rad} \left( \psi_{sm} - \psi_{rp} \right)$ 

#### Step 2: solve root model

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K_{rh,ax} \left( \frac{\partial \psi_{rp}}{\partial z} - 1 \right) \right] + K_{rh,rad} \left( \psi_{sm} - \psi_{rp} \right)$$

#### **Coupled model**

• Form and solve coupled system

### Sequential model (SM) showed a large sensitivity to time stepping while the coupled model (CM) did not



### • We explored several uncertainty sources using the coupled model:

- Root conductivity
- Pedotransfer function
- Drainage parameterization
- Root depth
- Btran
- Convection velocity in Monin-Obukhov parameterization

#### Sierra site latent heat evaluation



#### Sierra site latent heat evaluation



#### Sierra site latent heat evaluation



# Small hydraulic redistribution at high precipitation sites



CESM LMWG/BGCWG, NCAR, Boulder

#### Sequential coupling vs. tight coupling: Nonphysical change in global ET



Sequential coupling minus tight coupling

# Example: hydraulic redistribution affects seasonal root water uptake



# Example: hydraulic redistribution affects seasonal soil moisture



CESM LMWG/BGCWG, NCAR, Boulder

# Change in latitudinal ET for vegetation removal experiments



### Summary and further work

- Robust numerical solution fit observation at Blodgett Forest worse than sequential solution
  - CLM is rife with these types of numerical solution problems
- Hydraulic redistribution reduces the possible ET bias in vegetation removal experiments by enhancing dry period ET
- The three pedotransfer functions did not result in very large differences in the ET anomaly from vegetation removal, but they likely underestimated the impacts (e.g. LBA experiment indicates very different soil texture compared to what CLM45 uses)
- For a full evaluation of the ET problem, CLM needs restructuring to account for flexible formulations of many processes, e.g., pedotransfer function, root depth profile, soil resistance, root water uptake, etc.

#### Acknowledgements

• This work was supported by DOE

#### LH evaluation against FLUXNET-MTE



#### Extra slides

### Change in latitudinal ET for vegetation removal experiments



#### Uncertain hydraulic parameter estimation by pedotransfer function for soils in Iran

