Update on Greenland ice-sheet initialization: Optimal control and Bayesian calibration approaches

M. Eldred¹, J. Jakeman¹, I. Kalashnikova¹, *M. Perego*¹, S. Price², A. Salinger¹, G. Stadler⁴, L. Swiler¹

LIWG meeting, January 30, 2014, Boulder

¹Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, USA ²Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA ³University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA









Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Estimation of ice-sheet initial state

Goal: recover initial ice-sheet state and avoid fast initial transients.

How to prescribe ice-sheet mechanical equilibrium:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\text{div}\left(\mathbf{U}H\right) + \tau_s, \qquad \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{H} \int_z \mathbf{u} \, dz.$$
Surface Mass
Balance

Boundary condition at ice-bedrock interface: $(\sigma \mathbf{n} + \beta \mathbf{u})_{\parallel} = \mathbf{0} \quad on \quad \Gamma_{\beta}$

Bibliography*:

Arthern, Gudmundsson, J. Glaciology. 2010

Price, Payne, Howat and Smith, PNAS 2011

Brinkerhoff, Meierbachtol, Johnson, Harper, Annals of Glaciology, 2011

Petra, Zhu, Stadler, Hughes, Ghattas, J. Glaciology, 2012.

Morlighem et al. A mass conservation approach for mapping glacier ice thickness, 2013



Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Estimation of ice-sheet initial state

PDE constrained optimization problem

Minimize mismatch between:

- computed divergence flux and measured SMB
- computed and measured surface velocity
- computed and reference thickness

Fulfill (Constraint):

High order nonlinear Stokes equation

Tune (Control Variables):

- Basal friction
- Thickness

Caveat:

Temperature field is given

Software Tools:

- Assembling: LifeV
- Linear solver: AztecOO & IfPack (Trilinos).
- Nonlinear solver: NOX (Trilinos).
- Gradient Based optimization (LBFGS): Rol.

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(eta,H) &= & rac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} rac{1}{\sigma_s^2} |\mathrm{div}(oldsymbol{U}H) - au_s|^2 \, ds & \left(\mathcal{J}^{SMB}
ight) \ &+ rac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{top}} rac{1}{\sigma_v^2} |oldsymbol{u} - oldsymbol{u}^{obs}|^2 \, ds & \left(\mathcal{J}^{vel}
ight) \ &+ rac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} rac{1}{\sigma_H^2} |H - H^{obs}|^2 \, ds & \left(\mathcal{J}^H
ight) \ &+ \mathcal{R}(eta) + \mathcal{R}(H). \end{aligned}$$

Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Numerical results

Slab example



- Add noise to results of forward simulation to get u^{obs}, τ_s and H^{obs}
- Invert. We consider three cases:
- 1. Tune **beta** by matching **surf. velocity**.
- 2. Tune **beta** by matching **surf. vel.** and **SMB**
- 3. Tune **beta** and **thick.** by matching **surf. vel.** and **SMB**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{1}(\beta) &= \mathcal{J}^{vel} + \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{J}^{2}(\beta) &= \mathcal{J}^{vel} + \mathcal{J}^{SMB} + \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{J}^{3}(\beta, H) &= \mathcal{J}^{vel} + \mathcal{J}^{SMB} + \mathcal{J}^{H} + \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Numerical results

Slab example. Optimization results using different merit functinals



Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Numerical results

Slab example. Optimization results using different merit functinals





Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Greenland initialization

Errors in data



Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Greenland initialization

Left, Center: Estimated beta obtained using different cost functionals. Right: difference between the computed and reference thickness in [km].



Deterministic Inversion (w/ S. Price and G. Stadler) Greenland initialization

Left, center: computed *surface velocity* obtained with different functionals. Right: reference velocity. Units: [m/yr]



Deterministic Inversion (M. Perego, S. Price and G. Stadler) Greenland initialization

Left, center: Estimated divergence flow obtained using different functionals. Right: reference SMB.



(w/ M. Eldred, J. Jakeman, I Kalashnikova, A. Salinger, L. Swiler)

Reduction of parameter space dimension

Difficulty in UQ approach: *"Curse of dimensionality*". The parameter space has O(30,000) parameters (or more).

• Reduce the dimension of the parameter space.

Method of choice: Karhunen-Loeve Expansion (**KLE**). In our experiment, we reduce the dimension of parameter space to 5.

1. Assume analytic covariance kernel
$$C(r_1, r_2) = exp\left(-\frac{|r_1 - r_2|^2}{L^2}\right)$$
.

- 2. Perform eigenvalue decomposition of C.
- 3. Take the mean $\overline{\beta}$ to be the deterministic solution and expand β in basis of eigenvector $\{\phi_k\}$ of C, with random variables $\{\xi_k\}$

$$\beta(\omega) = \bar{\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \phi_k \xi_k(\omega)$$

*Expansion done on $\log(\beta)$ to avoid negative values for β .

Development(?): parameter reduction based on physical knowledge. (e.g. include *basal hydrology model*)

(w/ M. Eldred, J. Jakeman, I Kalashnikova, A. Salinger, L. Swiler)

Reduction of parameter space dimension: Greenland modes

 5 KLE modes capture 95% of covariance energy (parallel C++/Trilinos code Anasazi).



Only spatial correlation has been considered.

Development(?): Build modes using information from the model (e.g. using family of deterministic basal friction coefficients).



(w/ M. Eldred, J. Jakeman, I Kalashnikova, A. Salinger, L. Swiler)

Compute model surrogate and invert

• Mismatch (ALBANY):
$$\mathcal{J}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} |\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{U}H) - SMB|^2 ds.$$

- *Build Surrogate Model.* Polynomial chaos expansion (PCE) was formed for the mismatch over random variables using uniform prior distributions. **DAKOTA**.
- **Inversion/Calibration**. Markov Chain Monte Carlo (**MCMC**) was performed on the PCE with 100K samples **QUESO**.

Development(?): use simple physical model (*e.g. L1L2 or SIA*) as the surrogate model.









(w/ M. Eldred, J. Jakeman, I Kalashnikova, A. Salinger, L. Swiler)

Numerical Results

Posterior distributions for the 5 KLE coefficients:



MAP solution: $\xi = (-0.16, -0.08, 0, 0, 0)$



(w/ M. Eldred, J. Jakeman, I Kalashnikova, A. Salinger, L. Swiler)

Numerical Results

