



Advancing the Representation of Cloud Microphysical Processes in a Snow Growth Model

Ehsan Erfani^{1,2} and David L. Mitchell¹

1.Desert Research Institute, Reno, Nevada

2. University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada

CESM Atmosphere Model Working Group Meeting, 18-20 Feb., 2015

Photo by Ehsan Erfani

Motivation

- A single Mass-dimension (m-D) power law

 (e.g. m=αD^β) is not valid for the whole range of particle size distribution. So, it produces uncertainty in modeling the ice cloud microphysics.
- Riming effect on particle dimension is small, but it considerably changes the mass and projected area.

Science Question

How can ice particle mass- and area-dimension (m-D & A-D) relationships be expressed as a function of riming?

Approach for m-D and A-D Laws

$$m = \alpha D^{eta}$$
 , $A = \gamma D^{\delta}$

 α , $\beta \gamma$, and δ size-dependent:

$$\beta = a_1 + 2a_2 \ln(D)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{exp\{a_0 + a_1 \ln(D) + a_1[\ln(D)]^2\}}{D^{\beta}}$$

2nd-order polynomial fit in log-log space:

$$\ln(m) = a_0 + a_1 \ln(D) + a_2 [\ln(D)]^2$$

Mass vs. Dimension



Observations: SCPP data Mitchell *et al.* (2015)

Approach for Treatment of Riming

Rimed Mass:

 $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_u} = \frac{IWC}{IWC_u}$, $\beta = \beta_u$ (empirical result), (D > 150 µm)

Max Mass and Max Projected Area:

 $A_{max} = k \frac{\pi}{4} D^2$, $m_{max} = 3.5 m_u$ (empirical result)

Rimed Projected Area:

 $\gamma = \frac{A}{D^{\delta}}$, $\delta = \delta_u$

$$R = \frac{m - m_u}{m_{max} - m_u} \quad , \qquad A = (A_{max} - A_u)R + A_u$$

Contraction of the second

R: riming factor (0<*R*<1)

Model Setup



Model Setup

• Gamma size distribution:

 $n(D) = n_o D^{\nu} \exp(-\lambda D)$

 λ : slope parameter,

v: dispersion parameter. In this study: v = -0.6(super exponential distribution)



Basic Equations

• 0th moment eq.: height dependence of number concentration:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial z} = \frac{bN}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{V_{Nf}} \left[kCS^{k-1} \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{\partial(wN)}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{\pi EI(v,b)N^2}{8\Gamma(b+v+1)\Gamma(v+1)\lambda^2}$$
fallout nucleation updraft aggregation

• 2nd moment eq.: height dependence of slope parameter:

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = \frac{\lambda}{(2\beta + b)N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{V_{Zf}} \frac{f(T, p, S)\lambda^{\beta} 2\Gamma(\beta + \nu + 2)}{\alpha(2\beta + b)\Gamma(2\beta + \nu + 1)}$$
sedimentation vapor diffusion
$$\frac{\pi EI(\beta, \nu, b)N}{4(2\beta + b)\Gamma(2\beta + b + \nu + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)\lambda} - \frac{2\gamma \overline{E_d} Q \Gamma(\beta + b + \delta + \nu + 1)}{\alpha(2\beta + b)\Gamma(2\beta + b + \nu + 1)\lambda^{\delta - \beta - 1}}$$
aggregation riming

Testing the Riming Process



- α, β, γ and δ: constant in most models (not real), but variable in our model
- In the absence of riming, particles are more compact at cloud top and become more branched during the decent due to aggregation and diffusion.
- Riming produces more compact particles with greater α

Testing the Riming Process



 Increase in mass and projected area by riming, associated with increase in α



Comparing Model & Observation



- Observation: Lagrangian spiral descent, off the coast of NH, 8 Mar. 1980.
- Consistency between observed and predicted variables: microphysical processes modeled correctly (Observation: *D* > 200 μm).

Comparing Model & Observation



Comparing Deposition, Aggregation & Riming



Conclusions

- By using new m-D and A-D relationships, the model characteristics are represented more accurately and realistically.
- The size spectra predicted by the model are in good agreement with those observed from aircraft measurement during Lagrangian spiral descents in frontal clouds.
- Riming seems to play an important role in the evolution of snowfall rates.

Thank you!

Question?

Photo by Ehsan Erfani

Contents

- Motivation
- Theoretical Achievements
- Model Setup
- Results
- Conclusions

Approach for Treatment of Riming

$$\frac{\Delta IWC}{\Delta z} = \int_{150}^{D_{max}} A(D)N(D)\overline{E_d}QdD$$
$$= \int_{150}^{D_{max}} \gamma D^{\delta} N_0 D^{\nu} e^{-\lambda D} dD \int_0^{d_{max}} E_d \frac{\pi}{6} \rho_w d^3 n_d(d) dd$$







Aerosol-Cloud Interaction



- Increase in CCN, due to aerosols, modifies cloud droplet size distribution and decreases water droplet mass-median diameter.
- Smaller water droplets have smaller collision efficiency, so riming is less important and snowfall rate decreases.



Ken Libbrecht's (Voyager Press, 2006) After Ukichiro Nakaya

References

- Mitchell, D. L., A. Huggins, and V. Grubisic, 2006: A new snow growth model with application to radar precipitation estimates. *Atmos. Res.*, **82**, 2–18
- Mitchell, D. L., Erfani, E., Mishra, M., 2014. Developing and Bounding Ice Particle Mass- and Area-dimension Expressions. Submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*