

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

# **PNNL Improvements to MAM**

### STEVE GHAN Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

#### MAM4



No.	Variables or Species	Gas- Phase	Accum.	Aitken	Primary Carbon	Coarse
1.	Number		X	X	X	Х
1.	BC		X		X	
2.	POM		X		X	
3.	SOA	X	X	X		
4.	SO4	Х	X	X		Х
5.	NaCl		X	X		X
6.	Dust		Х	X		Х

February 17, 2015X = Standard CAM5-MAM3X = CAM5-MAM4

Total Number of Species =  $21^2$ 

#### **Convective Transport and Scavenging**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

- Cloud-borne aerosol in convective clouds
- Vertical transport and wet removal treated simultaneously
- Improves vertical distribution of the aerosol and aerosol concentrations in remote regions
- Increases cloud liquid water path, strengthens SWCF
- Candidate for CAM5.4

#### H. Wang et al., GMD, 2013



Advancing treatment of secondary organic aerosols in CESM using the Volatility Basis Set - MAM approach

#### MANISH SHRIVASTAVA

**Pacific Northwest National Laboratory** 

JGR-Atmospheres, revised

February 16, 2015

Acknowledgement: DOE SciDAC ACES4BGC project

#### **Methodology**



- CAM5 (1.9× 2.5), nudged to ERA-Interim reanalysis
- Mozart chemistry coupled to modal aerosol module (MAM3)
- 62 SOA species (42 SOA particle species and 20 gas phase organic species) + 104 gas-phase tracers from Mozart chemistry
- Compare 4 different treatments of SOA particles:
- 1. Standard CAM treatment (SOAG, SOA, no chemistry)
- 2. Semi-volatile liquid-like SOA formed by photochemistry: No fragmentation
- 3. Semi-volatile SOA with gas-phase fragmentation
- 4. Non-volatile semi-solid SOA with gas-phase fragmentation
- > 2 and 3: Effects of gas-phase chemistry: fragmentation reactions
- > 3 and 4: Effects of phase-state of SOA: liquid vs. semi-solid

#### VBS-MAM SOA treatment: Effects of fragmentation Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Pacific Solid SOA Prody Operated by Battelle Since 1965



# SOA Source contributions: Fragmentation and non-volatile SOA



- Biomass burning is the largest source of SOA globally
- SOA from either biomass burning or biogenic sources is much larger than anthropogenic SOA

Pacific Northwes

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

#### Simulated and measured vertical profile of OA: Aircraft observations

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Standard CAM underpredicts OA by orders of magnitude

Revised model treatments significantly improve OA predictions mainly due to contributions from biomass burning SOA, missing in standard CAM

February 16, 2015

# Evaluation with U.S. IMPROVE network OC measurements (2007-2011)



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



- Standard CAM treatment overestimates OC
- Revised treatment with non-volatile NVSOA and fragmentation (red) shows much better agreement

#### Measured and simulated AOD: MODIS July 2008



Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965



Standard CAM underpredicts AOD over Eastern Russia, Northern Canada and Central Africa

Revised treatments shows much better agreement February 16, 2015

#### **Direct radiative forcing (DRF) of SOA**



Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965

Studies	DRF (W m <sup>-2</sup> )
Aerocom Phase II Intercomparison experiments (Myhre et al. 2013)	-0.01 to -0.21 (mean: -0.06)
Spracklen et al. 2011	-0.26±0.15 (anthropogenic controlled SOA)
This study: Fragmentation & Non-volatile SOA	TOA: -0.50, Surface: -1.3
This study: Fragmentation and Semi-volatile SOA	TOA: -0.26, Surface: -0.71

- This study: DRF of SOA at the high end of previous estimates
- Difference between surface and top of atmosphere (TOA) DRF is mainly due to the absorbing component of SOA
- Although SOA has ~40x lower imaginary refractive index compared to BC, the large SOA loadings result in SOA DRF comparable to BC

#### Conclusions



- Multi-generational aging of organic vapors increases SOA concentrations throughout the domain
- Fragmentation reduces SOA concentrations by a factor of 2-3
- Treating SOA as non-volatile semi-solid (glassy) increases SOA concentrations compared to its traditional semi-volatile treatment
- Biomass burning is the largest global source of SOA
- Fragmentation and non-volatile SOA shows much better agreement with measurements compared to Standard CAM treatment
- Simulated direct radiative forcing of SOA is at the higher end of previous global model estimates
- Absorbing component of SOA is comparable to black carbon (BC) due to much higher simulated SOA loadings
- Effects of absorbing SOA expected to be even larger downwind of biomass burning regions
- Improved process-level SOA representation: key for improving CCN predictions



Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC) in CAM5

RAHUL ZAVERI, RICHARD EASTER, BALWINDER SINGH Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

### **MOSAIC** Processes



- Gas-phase chemistry treated by MOZART photochemical mechanism
- Fully dynamic partitioning of sulfate, nitrate, chloride, and ammonium amongst different modes via reversible and irreversible reactions
- Equilibrium water content calculated using the ZSR mixing rule
  - Polynomial fits for water due to inorganic salts
  - Kappa-Kohler theory for water due to organics
  - Kelvin effect taken into account at high RH
- Particles assumed to be completely deliquesced at RH > 35%

(water hysteresis will be activated after implementing organic-inorganic interactions)

	Irreversible Heterogeneous Reactions					
Reversible Gas-Particle Reactions	Reactions With $H_2SO_4(g)$					
gas-solid NH <sub>4</sub> Cl( $s$ ) $\leftrightarrow$ NH <sub>3</sub> ( $g$ ) + HCl( $g$ ) NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> ( $s$ ) $\leftrightarrow$ NH <sub>3</sub> ( $g$ ) + HNO <sub>3</sub> ( $g$ )	$ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{CaCO}_3(s) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(g) \to \operatorname{CaSO}_4(s) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}(g) \uparrow + \operatorname{CO}_2(g) \uparrow \\ \operatorname{CaCl}_2(s,l) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(g) \to \operatorname{CaSO}_4(s) + 2\operatorname{HCl}(g) \uparrow \\ \operatorname{Ca}(\operatorname{NO}_3)_2(s,l) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(g) \to \operatorname{CaSO}_4(s) + 2\operatorname{HNO}_3(g) \uparrow \\ 2\operatorname{NaCl}(s,l) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(g) \to \operatorname{Na}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(s,l) + 2\operatorname{HCl}(g) \uparrow \\ 2\operatorname{NaNO}_3(s,l) + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(g) \to \operatorname{Na}_2\operatorname{SO}_4(s,l) + 2\operatorname{HNO}_3(g) \uparrow \end{array} $					
gas-liquid $\operatorname{NH}_3(g) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{NH}_3(aq)$ $\operatorname{HNO}_3(g) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^+(aq) + \operatorname{NO}_3^-(aq)$ $\operatorname{HCl}(g) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^+(aq) + \operatorname{Cl}^-(aq)$	Reactions With HNO <sub>3</sub> (g) CaCO <sub>3</sub> (s) + 2HNO <sub>3</sub> (g) $\rightarrow$ Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (s) + H <sub>2</sub> O(g) $\uparrow$ +CO <sub>2</sub> (g) $\uparrow$ CaCl <sub>2</sub> (s) + 2HNO <sub>3</sub> (g) $\rightarrow$ Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (s) + 2HCl(g) $\uparrow$ NaCl(s) + HNO <sub>3</sub> (g) $\rightarrow$ NaNO <sub>3</sub> (s) + HCl(g) $\uparrow$					
$\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:hold_liquid} \\ \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}(aq) + \mathrm{NH}_{3}(aq) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-}(aq) + \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(aq) \\ \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}(aq) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(aq) + \mathrm{OH}^{-}(aq) \\ \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(aq) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(aq) + \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(aq) \end{array}$	Reactions With HCl(g) $CaCO_3(s) + 2HCl(g) \rightarrow CaCl_2(s) + H_2O(g) \uparrow + CO_2(g) \uparrow$ Reactions With NH <sub>3</sub> (g) NH <sub>4</sub> HSO <sub>4</sub> (s) + NH <sub>3</sub> (g) $\rightarrow$ (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (s)					
Zaveri et al., JGR, 2008	$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{NH}_4)_3\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{SO}_4)_2(s) + \mathrm{NH}_3(g) \rightarrow 2(\mathrm{NH}_4)_2\mathrm{SO}_4(s) \\ 2\mathrm{Na}\mathrm{HSO}_4(s) + \mathrm{NH}_3(g) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{SO}_4(s) + \mathrm{NH}_4\mathrm{HSO}_4(s) \end{array}$					

### Variables and Species in Standard CAM5-MAM7

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

No.	Variables or Species	Gas- Phase	Accum.	Aitken	Primary Carbon	Fine Seasalt	Coarse Seasalt	Fine Dust	Coarse Dust
1.	Number		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
2.	BC		Х		Х				
3.	POM		Х		Х				
4.	SOA	х	Х	х					
5.	SO4	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	х
6.	NH4	х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	х
7.	NaCl		Х	Х		Х	Х		
8.	Dust							X	х
		3	7	5	3	4	4	4	4

Total Number of Species = 34

## Variables and Species in CAM5-MAM7-MOSAIC

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

No.	Variables or Species	Gas- Phase	Accum.	Aitken	Primary Carbon	Fine Seasalt	Coarse Seasalt	Fine Dust	Coarse Dust
1.	Number		X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X
1.	BC		Х		Х				
2.	POM		Х		Х				
3.	SOA	Х	Х	Х					
4.	SO4	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	X
5.	NH4	X	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	X
6.	NO3	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
7.	Cl	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
8.	Na		Х	Х		Х	Х		
9.	Dust							X	X
10.	Са							X	X
11.	<b>CO3</b>							X	X
12.	MOZART Trace Gases	114							
		119	9	7	3	6	6	8	8

Total Number of Species = 166 X = Standard CAM5-MAM7 X = CAM5-MAM7-MOSAIC

#### Variables and Species in CAM5-MAM4-MOSAIC

Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

No.	Variables or Species	Gas- Phase	Accum.	Aitken	Primary Carbon	Coarse
1.	Number		Х	Х	Х	Х
1.	BC		Х		Х	
2.	POM		Х		Х	
3.	SOA	Х	Х	Х		
4.	SO4	Х	Х	Х		Х
5.	NH4	X	X	X		X
6.	NO3	X	X	X		X
7.	Cl	X	X	X		X
8.	Na		Х	Х		Х
9.	Dust		Х	х		Х
10.	Са		X	X		X
11.	СО3		X	X		X
12.	MOZART Trace Gases	114				
		119	9	7	3	9

X = Standard CAM5-MAM4 X = CAM5-MAM4-MOSAIC Total Number of Species = 150