#### Using Landscape Typologies to Model Socioecological Systems

Sujith Surendran Nair Ben Preston Anthony King Rui Mei

Using landscape typologies to model socioecological systems: Application to agriculture of the United States Gulf Coast. Submitted to Environmental Modeling and Software









Regional Production Function







# **Clustering to create typologies**







MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# Input variables for clustering

Variables	Time Span	Data Source				
Climate	1981-2010	DayMet				
(30 years monthly, growing-season and						
annual mean and standard deviations at						
Soil (Components in man unit key)		STATSGO				
Topography		01/1000				
(Average and standard deviation		NED, USGS				
HUC-12 watersheds)						
Socioeconomic Variables (County)						
Size of farm holding	1982-2007	Agriculture Census, USDA				
Farm production intensity	1986-2010	NASS-USDA and US BEA				
Farm specialization	1986-2010	NASS-USDA and US BEA				
Total factor productivity	1986-2010	InSTePP Database				
Population and population density	1986-2010	US Census Bureau				
Road connectivity	1990-2010	US Census Bureau				
Household income	1986-2010	US Census Bureau,				
		American Community Survey				
Unemployment	1986-2010	US Census Bureau				
Education	1986-2010	US Census Bureau				







# Mapped typologies



#### **Typologies**

- a. Climate
- b. Soil
- c. Topography
- d. Socioeconomic
- e. Bio-Physical (BPT)
- f. Socio-Ecological (SET)

2,410 unique BPT types 4,429 unique SET types







#### **Regression modeling with types as predictor variables**



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### **Results from BPT and SET models for corn yield**

Property	ВРТ	SET					
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.72	0.89					
Share of typologies <sup>†</sup> (%)							
Climate	55	38					
Soil	33	17					
Topography	12	8					
Socioeconomic		37					
Number of types dropped from BPT and new types added to SET							
Climate	6	18					
Soil	4	3					
Topography	3	1					
Socioeconomic		37					
Friedman's Two-way Analysis of Variance <sup>‡</sup>							
Observed Corn Yield	19.92	0.05					
BPT vs. SET	13	.92					
Partial F test <sup>†††</sup>	114	4.44					







#### **Results from BPT and SET models for corn yield**

		$\frown$					
Property	BPT	SET					
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.72	0.89					
Share of typologies <sup>+</sup> (%)							
Climate	55	38					
Soil	33	17					
Topography	12	8					
Socioeconomic		37					
Number of types dropped f	rom BPT and nev	v types added to SET					
Climate	6	18					
Soil	4	3					
Topography	3	1					
Socioeconomic		37					
Friedman's Two-way Analysis of Variance <sup>‡</sup>							
Observed Corn Yield	19.92	0.05					
BPT vs. SET	1	3.92					
Partial F test <sup>†††</sup>	11	4.44					

Including socioeconomic variables explained more of the regional spatial variation in 25-year mean corn yields







### Social Vulnerability: loss to climate hazards









# **Social Vulnerability**



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Predicting economic loss from climate hazards (L<sub>E</sub>)

















# So... typologies and CESM

- **1.** Attribution might inform choice of variables for:
  - a. Scenarios of future socioeconomic forcings
  - b. Endogenous variables in CESM >2
- 2. Post processing of CESM projections:
  - a. Typological differences
    - ---- how does a future typology compare with the historic BPT straightforward, but future SET will be constrained by projection of socioeconomic variables
  - b. Use future typology with the historical empirical model to project future values for the target variable
  - c. Evaluate functional responses --- does the relationship between target variable and typology in the future match the historical
- 3. Use types within CESM --- analogous to plant functional types







### **Relevance to SDWG**

- Fostering dialogue
- Needs for CESM development (CESM >2)
  - expanded socioeconomic scenarios
  - endogenous socioeconomic variables
- Relevant CESM simulations (if used in postprocessing/analysis of results):
  - those with projected agricultural yields
  - any with future extreme events/hazards
- New CESM linkage code ?









Regional Production Function









http://www.ied.edu.hk/apfslt/v6\_issue2/foreword/foreword4.htm







# Selecting the typology (level of clustering)



Level of hierarchy of climate clusters









Regional Production Function







### Predicted versus observed corn yield









## Significant socioeconomic variables









### Significant socioeconomic variables

	Single	Single Variable in Combination with a Second Variable							
Variable	variable	FSp	FInt	TFP	Edu	PopDen	PerIn	RdDen	Total
FSize	1,004	21	38	78	54	41	1	0	1237
FSp	94		0	0	0	0	0	0	94
FInt	62			0	24	0	21	0	107
TFP	750				28	0	53	0	831
Edu	845					0	45	11	901
PDen	169						1	0	170
HIn	590							0	590
Rlength	124								
Total	3,638								3,930

- FSize: Farm Size
- FSp: Farm Specialization
- FInt: Farming Intensity
- TFP: Total Factor Productivity
- Edu: Education
- PDen: Population Density
- HIn: Household Median Income

Rlength: Road Length.







