




# NCAR CESM Working Group Meeting

## Nudging Timescales and Vertical Transport in CAMChem-SD and WACCM-SD

Jessica L. Neu<sup>1</sup>, Doug Kinnison<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Francois  
Lamarque<sup>2</sup>, A. Sasha Glanville<sup>2</sup>, Michelle L. Santee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory / California Institute of Technology, <sup>2</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research






# Model Setup and Emissions


## MLS CH<sub>3</sub>Cl Anomalies 390K

February



Santee et al., JGR, 2013

## CH<sub>3</sub>Cl Emissions from Yoshida et al. (JGR 2006)




0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 [Gg/grid]

## Time-Varying Biomass Burning Emissions

For everything else, we use the CCMi RefC1-SD model setup and emissions. The model is nudged to MERRA meteorology

$$CH_3Cl_{bb}(m, y) = CH_3Cl_{bbYOS} * \left( \frac{CO_{bb}(m, y)}{CO_{bb}(m)} \right)$$



0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0 [Gg/grid]


The original goal of this work was to use CAMChem-SD to understand the observed variability of CH<sub>3</sub>Cl in the upper troposphere.

We found significant differences in convective transport with 50-hour and 5-hour nudging



# Observed and Modeled $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ and CO Climatologies

## 100 hPa $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ and CO, 50 hour nudging




October to May: maxima in UT trace gases with surface sources are seen over South America, Africa, and Indonesia

June to September: maximum abundances are found in the Asian monsoon anticyclone.

The model captures the spatial distribution of  $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$  and CO fairly well, but underestimates CO at 100 hPa by ~40%




# Relationship Between Observed and Modeled $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ and $\text{CO}$ over Tropical Regions



## Results with 50 hour nudging

Over South America and Indonesia, the slopes of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{CO}$  correlations are significantly  $<1$  and also much smaller than the slopes over Africa

This suggests that the model convection may be too weak over these regions *relative to the convection over Africa*






# Relationship Between Observed and Modeled $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ and $\text{CO}$ over Tropical Regions - Dependence on Nudging Timescale


## 50 hour nudging

CAMChem CO Clim January




## Observations

MLS CO Clim January



## 5 hour nudging

CAMChem CO Clim January





### $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$



### CO

### $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$



### CO





Africa





Africa





S. America



S. America



Indonesia




Indonesia

5 hour nudging improves the model-measurement consistency across regions and eliminates spurious model abundances



# Relationship Between Observed and Modeled $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$ and $\text{CO}$ over Tropical Regions - Dependence on Nudging Timescale





The simulation of  $\text{CO}$  anomalies is greatly improved, particularly over Africa; there is less change to  $\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$  anomalies, but the model-measurement differences are reduced.



# Convection is Strongly Dependent on the Nudging Timescale



## Model Deep Convective Fluxes, 225 hPa

CAMChem DpCnvFlux Clim January



## IWC Observations

MLS IWC Clim January



Convective mass fluxes over Africa and Indonesia are greatly reduced with 5 hour nudging.


MLS IWC measurements suggest comparable convective depth and intensity over South America and Africa, with stronger convection over Indonesia in January. This is more consistent with the 5 hour nudging.



# Convection is Strongly Dependent on the Nudging Timescale


## Model OLR

CAM-Chem OLR Clim January



## OLR Observations

NOAA OLR Clim January




The model OLR is also more consistent with observations when 5-hour nudging is used.







# Observed Regional Ratios of Vertical Transport Tracers





## Observed Ratios of Vertical Transport Tracers over Africa and South America to Asia

OLR and 215 hPa IWC show a consistent picture that suggests that vertical transport over Asia > South America > Africa throughout the year.



The ratio of South America and Africa to Asia peaks during Mar-Apr and Nov-Dec, with minimum values during the monsoon season



At 100 hPa, IWC, CO and CH<sub>3</sub>Cl show quite a different picture than OLR, with the strongest vertical transport over Africa during April. Vertical transport over Africa penetrates deeper into the UTLS than over the other regions




# Observed vs Modeled Regional Ratios

## Observations

## 50 Hour

## 5 Hour



The 5 hour nudging improves the model regional ratios compared to the observations for every tracer except CH<sub>3</sub>Cl


In both model versions, CH<sub>3</sub>Cl over South America has a different seasonal relationship to Asia than observed – this suggests an issue with the seasonality of South American emissions



# Asian Summer Monsoon Anticyclone – 100 hPa Climatologies

## July Climatologies (2005-2012) at 100 hPa

MLS v3.3






# Asian Summer Monsoon Anticyclone – 100 hPa Climatologies


## July Climatologies (2005-2012) at 100 hPa

MLS v3.3




Note different scales for measurements and model

50 hour




5 hour



5 hour nudging shifts the convective region to the Bay of Bengal, but the ASM anticyclone is not as well represented, especially for CO and H<sub>2</sub>O



# Asian Summer Monsoon – July OLR






Comparison to OLR observations suggests that ASM convection is better with 5 hour nudging

So why does the 5 hour version degrade the quality of the ASM anticyclone?




# WACCM Nudging Timescale: Dependence of Tropospheric Ozone Variability on the Stratosphere


Tropospheric Ozone vs Stratospheric Ozone



## 390 hPa Deep Convective Mass Fluxes for June CAMChem-SD 50 hour



## CAMChem-SD 5 hour



SD reproduces observed stratospheric ozone variability well, but, unlike FR, does not capture the observed NH relationship between stratospheric and tropospheric ozone.

Using a 5-hour nudging timescale reduces convection over the summertime continents and greatly improves the SD performance on this metric, but degrades the overall performance in the stratosphere.



## Summary and Next Steps

- ◆ The model convective mass fluxes are very sensitive to the nudging timescale. The differences in convection lead to large differences in upper tropospheric composition for some species.
- ◆ Comparison to observations tends to indicate that 5 hour nudging gives more realistic convection, which is consistent with other anecdotal findings that tropospheric composition is better simulated with 5 hour nudging.
- ◆ The ASM anticyclone, however, appears to be better simulated with a longer nudging timescale.
- ◆ Likewise, analysis of WACCM output against observations has shown that the optimal nudging timescale for the stratosphere is ~50 hours.
- ◆ These results suggest that a height-dependent nudging timescale may be necessary to accurately simulate both tropospheric and stratospheric processes (or perhaps that the suite of variables being nudged should be reconsidered).
- ◆ We are redoing analysis with the MLS averaging kernels and sampling pattern; preliminary analysis indicates the results are not strongly affected.