

climate • models • society

SDWG – Gross vs Net LULCC investigation

Peter Lawrence

NCAR – Terrestrial Sciences Section

co authors: Dave Lawrence, George Hurtt and Brian O'Neill





SDWG Gross vs Net LULCC Computing Project

The project is investigating the global and regional effects of gross versus net land use and land cover change (LULCC) on climate and the carbon cycle in CLM5 and CESM2.

The simulations are an extension to the CMIP6 scenarios for SSP1-2.6 and SSP3-7, generated by the Land Use (LUMIP) and Scenario (ScenarioMIP) projects.

For each scenario (and the historical period), the results of CESM simulations with full Gross LULCC will be compared to CESM experiments with Net LULCC. The Net LULCC experiments will be performed by NCAR for CMIP6 and LUMIP.

Land Surface Data Sets with Net as well as Gross LULCC have been now been developed in this project for CLM5. Waiting for completion of CESM 2.0 and CMIP6 simulations to start experiments.

CMIP6 Gross versus Net LULCC in CLM5 – Shifting Cultivation

Initial State Yr 1.

Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 70%	Crop 30%

Gross Transitions

- 1. Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree -> Crop 20%
- 2. Crop -> Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 20%

Net Transitions: 0% Change

Updated State Yr 2.

Crop 20%	Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 50%	Brd Evg Trop Tree 20%	Crop 10%
New	Old	New	Old

In Net Land Cover Change No PFT fraction changes therefore no Land Cover Conversion flux

CMIP6 Gross versus Net LULCC in CLM5 – Shifting Cultivation

Initial State Yr 1.

Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 70%	Crop 30%

Gross Transitions

- 1. Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree -> Crop 20%
- 2. Crop -> Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 20%

Net Transitions: 0% Change

Unrepresented Gross Transitions: BET 20% Crop 20%

Updated State Yr 2.

Crop 20%	Broadleaf Evergreen Tropical Tree 50%	Brd Evg Trop Tree 20%	Crop 10%
New	Old	New	Old

Even though there are no Net Transitions we can still remove vegetation biomass for the Unrepresented Gross Transition area . Additional LULCC fluxes done in the same manner as wood harvest

3. CMIP6 LUMIP CLM5

1. Working with Dave Lawrence, Bill Sacks and Danica Lombardozzi developing new LUMIP CMIP6 CLM transient crop simulation capability with prescribed annual crop N fertilizer and irrigation. New landuse.timeseries files.

For each year of LUMIP/CMIP6 time series specify for each grid cell:

- PCT_NATVEG percent of gridcell as natural vegetation land unit
- PCT_CROP percent of gridcell as crop land unit
- PCT_NAT_PFT percent of natural vegetated land unit for each PFT
- PCT_CFT percent of crop land unit for each CFT (irrigated/rainfed)
- FERTNITRO_CFT gN/m2/yr N Fertilizer for each CFT
- HARVEST_PRIMARY_FOR gC/m2/yr Wood harvest Primary Forest
- HARVEST_PRIMARY_NFOR gC/m2/yr Wood harvest Primary Non Forest
- HARVEST_SECONDARY_MFOR gC/m2/yr Wood harvest Second Mature For
- HARVEST_SECONDARY_YFOR gC/m2/yr Wood harvest Second Young Forest
- HARVEST_SECONDARY_NFOR gC/m2/yr Wood harvest Second Non Forest
- UNREPRESENTED_PFT_LULCC percent of PFT unrepresented in Net LULCC
- UNREPRESENTED_CFT_LULCC percent of CFT unrepresented in Net LULCC

CMIP6 Unrep Gross versus Wood Harvest CLM5



CMIP6 Unrep Gross LULCC

CLM5 CMIP6



CMIP5 Historical Direct LULCC Fluxes



Conversion = 63.2 PgC Wood Harvest = 63.6 PgC