Thanks to: Nan Rosenbloom, Dani Coleman, Gary Strand, and Isla Simpson for running and postprocessing

Available on the ESG

Clara Deser, CVCWG Winter Meeting, 27 Feb 2019



Identical model configuration and forcings as the CESM1 Large Ensemble (LENS; Kay et al., 2015) except:



Identical model configuration and forcings as the CESM1 Large Ensemble (LENS; Kay et al., 2015) except:

1) GHG held fixed at 1920 levels (20 members)



Identical model configuration and forcings as the CESM1 Large Ensemble (LENS; Kay et al., 2015) except:

- 1) GHG held fixed at 1920 levels (20 members)
- 2) Anthropogenic aerosols held fixed at 1920 levels
 a) Energy sector (20 members)
 b) Biomass burning (15 members; to 2030)



Infer GHG and anthropogenic aerosol influences by subtracting from all-forcing LENS

- LENS fixed GHG \implies inferred GHG influence
- $LENS fixed AER \implies inferred AER influence$



Some Results and Guiding Questions

1. What are the relative contributions of anthropogenic aerosols and greenhouse gases to evolving historical climate trends in the CESM1 Large Ensemble ?

2. How many ensemble members are needed to detect the forced responses?

Focus: 50-year trends in annual precipitation and SST *Deser et al., in preparation for J. Climate*

Stippled areas insignificant (95%)

Ensemble Mean Precipitation Trends LENS



1950-2000

1970-2020

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Stippled areas insignificant (95%)

Ensemble Mean Precipitation Trends LENS



1970-2020

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Stippled areas insignificant (95%)

Ensemble Mean Precipitation Trends LENS



 $-0.8 \ -0.6 \ -0.4 \ -0.2 \ -0.1 \ -0.05 \ 0 \ 0.05 \ 0.1 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \ 0.6 \ 0.8$









Pattern Correlation with LENS *Running 50 year Precipitation Trends*



How many ensemble members are needed to confidently detect the forced response?

How many ensemble members are needed to confidently detect the forced response?

Nmin (95% confidence) = 8 x (σ trends / Ensemble Mean Trend)²

Based on standard error of the mean (Deser et al., 2012)

LENS Precipitation Trends





Precipitation (mm mo⁻¹)

 $-0.8 \ -0.6 \ -0.4 \ -0.2 \ -0.1 \ -0.05 \ 0 \ 0.05 \ 0.1 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \ 0.6 \ 0.8$

LENS Precipitation Trends



Member B





Precipitation (mm mo⁻¹)

 $-0.8 \ -0.6 \ -0.4 \ -0.2 \ -0.1 \ -0.05 \ 0 \ 0.05 \ 0.1 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \ 0.6 \ 0.8$

Mean Official Antion of the second se

LENS Precipitation Trends

Member A

Member B



1970-2020 Nmin







Mean Wean

LENS Precipitation Trends



Member B



1970-2020 Nmin Mean Member A Member B Precipitation (mm mo⁻¹) 3 6 9 12 15 20 30 40 Nmin -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 -0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

How many ensemble members are needed to confidently detect the forced response?

Patterns

Pattern Correlation: Individual Members vs. Ensemble Mean *Running 50 year Precipitation Trends*



Pattern Correlation: Individual Members vs. Ensemble Mean *Running 50 year Precipitation Trends*



Pattern Correlation: N-member vs 40-member averages (200 bootstrapped samples)



Pattern Correlation: N-member vs 40-member averages (200 bootstrapped samples)



Pattern Correlation: N-member vs 40-member averages (200 bootstrapped samples)



Thanks

Extra

Nmin (95% confidence)



OBS (GPCC) LENS Ens Mean











Pattern Correlation: Individual members vs ensemble mean



GHG Ensemble, 1970-2020 Trends







Pattern Correlations of N-member ensemble means with the 40-member EM (all from LENS)

Annual Tropical Precipitation 50-year running trends starting in 1920-2030



How many ensemble members are needed?





Precipitation Sulfate Aerosol Burden



