


# Updating SD-WACCM's De-NOY Parameterization Improves Simulation of Arctic Ozone Loss Under Extreme Conditions

**Catherine Wilka (MIT)**

With Thanks to Collaborators:  
S. Solomon (MIT), D. Kinnison (NCAR),  
and D. Tarasick (ECCC)

# Motivation: The Extreme Arctic Spring of 2020


- Observations from balloons and satellites of the Arctic 2020 spring saw lowest mixing ratios ever in the heart of the depletion accompanied by extensive denitrification (Manney et al., 2020; Wohltmann et al., 2020; Inness et al., 2020; others)
- Mainly due to a cold, stable polar vortex which allowed the formation of more PSCs than usual and persisted into the spring



Wilka et al., *in review*

# Our “World Avoided” Scenario



- We simulate both the real world (RW) using SD-WACCM4 nudged to MERRA2’s meteorological fields and an even more extreme “World Avoided” (WA) without the Montreal Protocol
- Increase anthropogenic ODS’s at uniform 3.5% per year from 1985 onward in the model except CH<sub>3</sub>Br which is assumed to be half natural half anthropogenic



Wilka et al., *in review*

# Accurate Denitrification is Important



- Initially, the TCO depletion and ozone profiles in the RW run weren't depleting as much as observations
- Reactive nitrogen will bond with chlorine and convert active chlorine back to reservoir form, thus short-circuiting the catalytic ozone depletion cycles
- SD-WACCM wasn't denitrifying enough early on compared to MLS, and  $\text{HNO}_3$  recovered faster in the model than in observations



# Accurate Denitrification is Important

Feb 20<sup>th</sup>

- Initially, the TCO depletion and ozone profiles in the RW run weren't depleting as much as observations
- Reactive nitrogen will bond with chlorine and convert active chlorine back to reservoir form, thus short-circuiting the catalytic ozone depletion cycles
- SD-WACCM wasn't denitrifying enough early on compared to MLS, and  $\text{HNO}_3$  recovered faster in the model than in observations




# Accurate Denitrification is Important

Feb 20<sup>th</sup>


- We ran multiple versions of the reference runs with different NAT particle densities to drive denitrification harder and our HNO<sub>3</sub> and ozone levels now match better

Reference Run	NAT Particle density (particles/cm <sup>3</sup> )
REF 003	0.01
REF 004	0.005
REF 005	0.001
REF 006	0.0001
REF 007	0.00005
REF 008	0.00001

SD-WACCM HNO<sub>3</sub> for NAT Density = 0.00001 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 73 mb



MLS HNO<sub>3</sub> at 68mb





Wilka et al., *in review*

# Accurate Denitrification is Important



- We ran multiple versions of the reference runs with different NAT particle densities to drive denitrification harder and our  $\text{HNO}_3$  and ozone levels now match better

Reference Run	NAT Particle density (particles/cm <sup>3</sup> )
REF 003	0.01
REF 004	0.005
REF 005	0.001
REF 006	0.0001
REF 007	0.00005
REF 008	0.00001



# No Arctic Ozone Hole in the Real World

- Our RW run compares well with OMI for Total Column measurements
- We don't find any contiguous area below 220 DU in WACCM, although the higher-resolution satellite finds a few small spots




Wilka et al., *in review*




# A 2020 Arctic Ozone Hole in the World Avoided

- Area of the ozone hole (defined as  $< 220$  DU) in the World Avoided is now 19.71 million  $\text{km}^2$  in 2020
- The Arctic ozone hole would have stretched across the pole and over much of Canada, Greenland, and Russia


SD-WACCM Total Column O<sub>3</sub>, World Avoided, 13-Mar-2020



SD-WACCM Total Column O<sub>3</sub>, Real World, 13-Mar-2020





SD-WACCM Total Column O<sub>3</sub>, WAVID - Ref, 13-Mar-2020



Wilka et al., *in review*



# Total Column Ozone in 2020

- The WA minimum Arctic ozone also follows a more Antarctic-like progression throughout the season
- We see a good match with OMI observations over the entire time period



# Ozone Vertical Profiles in 2020

- Looking at vertical profiles again, we also see a shift toward near-total depletion in a broad region of the lower stratosphere (WA) rather than at a few levels (RW)
- Again, a common feature of the Antarctic, which is itself quite saturated and shows more change higher up




Wilka et al., *in review*


# Next Steps: Impacts Elsewhere and WACCM6

- Looking at the Antarctic, we don't seem to be denitrifying too much
- Preliminary comparisons with MIPAS HNO<sub>3</sub> distributions indicates our new reference state is better in the SH subpolar latitudes, but more work is needed
- More careful comparisons with obs needed to decided on final NAT param value




SD-WACCM HNO<sub>3</sub> for NAT Density = 0.0001 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 73 mb



SD-WACCM HNO<sub>3</sub> for NAT Density = 0.00001 cm<sup>-3</sup> at 73 mb



MLS HNO<sub>3</sub> at 68mb



Zambri et al., *in prep*

Wilka et al., *in review*



Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## Conclusions

- Increasing the denitrification in SD-WACCM4 allows the model to more accurately simulate the conditions of the meteorologically extreme Arctic spring of 2020
- Given this, we have more confidence in the model's ability to simulate a “World Avoided” which the real world averted through the Montreal Protocol
- This does not appear to degrade the representation of  $\text{HNO}_3$  in the Antarctic, but quantification of this and impacts on other regions is ongoing