

Stefanie Falk et al.

OzoneLUNA: Ozone damage in CLM revisited

2021 February 25 | CESM land surface working group meeting 2021 | zoom



Ozone vulnerability of vegetation

Ozone formation, transport & removal



Ozone vulnerability of vegetation Ozone dry deposition



Resistance analogous approach

- Aerodynamic resistance (*R*_a)
- Quasi-laminar resistance (*R*_b)
- Canopy resistance (*R*_c)
- Ozone removal

$$\bullet \Phi_{\mathrm{O}_3} = \nabla \chi_{\mathrm{O}_3}$$

Uptake by stomata

•
$$\Phi_{\mathrm{O}_3}^{\mathrm{sto}} \propto g_{\mathrm{sto}} \propto rac{1}{R_{c1}}$$

Medlyn et al. (2011) model

•
$$g_{sto} = g_0 + 1.6 \left(1 + \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{\text{VPD}}}\right) \frac{A_n \cdot P_{atr}}{\chi_{CO_2}}$$

Ozone vulnerability of vegetation Stomata & ozone damage



Favorable conditions for gas-exchange through stomata

- Light (photosynthetic photonflux density PPFD)
- Temperature (T)
- Vapor pressure deficit (VPD)
- Soil water potential (SWP)

Ozone in intercelluar medium

- Short lifetime \rightarrow reactive oxygen species (ROS)
- Plant defense mechanism (antioxidants)
- Too few antioxidants \rightarrow ROS reacts with cell membrane

Ozone damage on cell membrane

- → Reduction of photosynthesis (*A_n*)
- → Decoupling of An and stomatal conductance (gsto)
- → Programmed cell death
- → Increased sensitivity to other stress factors

Ozone vulnerability of vegetation LUNA model scheme



- Plant nitrogen at leaf level $LNC_{\alpha} = N_{psn}^{\uparrow} + N_{str} + N_{store} + N_{resp}^{\uparrow}$ photosyn. structural storage respiration
- Nitrogen for light capture $N_{psn}^{\uparrow} = N_{et}^{\uparrow} + N_{cb}^{\uparrow} + N_{lc}^{\uparrow}$ e-transp. carboxyl. y-capture $N_{et} = \frac{J_{max}}{N_{HE}}$

$$N_{cb} = \frac{V_{cmax25}}{NUE_{V_{cmax25}}}$$

$$N_{\rm res} = \frac{R_{\rm d}}{\rm NUE_r} \propto V_{\rm cmax}$$

• Photosynthesis rate (A) $A(\chi_{CO_2}, J_{max}, V_{cmax})$

Ozone vulnerability of vegetation OzoneLUNA model scheme



- Ozone damage reduces Jmax and Vcmax
- Metadata (deciduous trees 2011-2019)



- Damage function
 - $\Gamma(\text{CUO}) = |J_{\text{max}}| = m \cdot \text{CUO} + b$
 - $V_{\rm cmax} \propto J_{\rm max}$
- Extend existing OzoneMod in CLM
 - PR#1276, PR#1232, ISSUE#1224

CLM single cell test: Brazil Equilibrium state



7 Stefanie Falk et al. – OzoneLUNA: Ozone damage in CLM revisited

Simulations: CLM release 5.0.26-20 extended

- Control run (ctrl.) with hydraulic stress on
- Control run without hydraulic stress (w/o hydr.)
- OzoneMod (Lombardozzi, 2012, [O₃] = 100 ppb)
- OzoneLunaMod (this work, [O₃] = 100 ppb)
- Spin-up from cold start \rightarrow C equilibrium state
 - 1991-2010 GSWP3 atmospheric forcing
 - 100 years with accelerated decomposition
 - 100 years with normal decomposition
 - 2000-2010 GSWP3 atmospheric forcing
 - 10 years production run
- Ozone effect on GPP, NPP, TLAI, TOTVEGC&-N
 - Reduction
 - → OzoneMod up to 24 (28)%
 - → OzoneLunaMod up to 11 (17)%
 - OzoneLunaMod more sensitive to hydraulic stress on/off

CLM single cell test: Brazil Equilibrium state



⁸ Stefanie Falk et al. - OzoneLUNA: Ozone damage in CLM revisited

Simulations: CLM release 5.0.26-20 extended

- Control run (ctrl.) with hydraulic stress on
- Control run without hydraulic stress (w/o hydr.)
- OzoneMod (Lombardozzi, 2012, [O₃] = 100 ppb)
- OzoneLunaMod (this work, [O₃] = 100 ppb)
- Spin-up from cold start \rightarrow C equilibrium state
 - 1991-2010 GSWP3 atmospheric forcing
 - 100 years with accelerated decomposition
 - 100 years with normal decomposition
 - 2000-2010 GSWP3 atmospheric forcing
 - 10 years production run
- Ozone effect on GPP, NPP, TLAI, TOTVEGC&-N
 - → Reduction
 - → OzoneMod up to 24 (28)%
 - → OzoneLunaMod up to 11 (17)%
 - OzoneLunaMod more sensitive to hydraulicstress on/off

UiO **Content of Geosciences**

University of Oslo

CLM single cell test: Brazil

Photosynthesis & stomatal conductance response



Sensitivity study (production runs)

- Spin-up: [O₃] = 0 ppb
- [O₃] = € {0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100} ppb
- Effective decoupling of An and gsto!
 - $dA_n/dCUO = -0.00025 \text{ m}^2 \text{ mmol}^{-1}$
 - $dg_{sto}/dCUO = -0.0005 \text{ m}^2 \text{ mmol}^{-1}$
- Opposite compared to Lombardozzi (2012)?!



- Too little response? Expected from metadata
 - $dg_{sto}/dCUO = -(0.0022 0.0094) \text{ m}^2 \text{ mmol}^{-1}$
 - △AndCUO = -(0.0053-0.0089) m² mmol⁻¹
- Bug?

UiO **Content of Geosciences**

University of Oslo

CLM single cell test: Brazil

Sensitivity test: flux threshold & ozone forcing

- Decoupling of An and gsto depended on flux threshold
- Ozone threshold at low forcing \rightarrow less reduction in A_n than g_{sto}



CLM single cell test: Brazil Seasonal cycle in GPP



- Slightly different from observations published by Restrepo-Coupe (2013)
 - **Differing years**
 - **Differing location**
 - \rightarrow Local variability (e.g. rainy season)
- Saturation at high ozone forcing (80-100) ppb
- Sensitivity to ozone damage
 - Highest in austral summer (Feb/Mar)
 - Lowest in austral winter (Sep/Oct)
- Low susceptibility to biomass burning in winter?

 \rightarrow Over prediction of ozone penalty in carbon uptake in Amazon region (Sitch, 2007; Pacifico, 2015)



Outlook

- Model development paper
- Integration in recent CLM development
 - PR#1276, PR#1232, ISSUE#1224
 - Influence of LUNA bug fixes?
 - Bugs?
- Expend to other PFTs
 - Evergreen needleleaf
 - Grassland/crops
 - Shrubs
- Integration tests
 - Other locations
 - Local domains
 - Global runs
- Full coupling to atmosphere (CAM)
 - Feedbacks!



Thank you!