scale aware eddy kinetic energy from along-track sea surface height measurements

CESM-OMWG / CPT 4 February 2021



CPT: observations group Jacob Steinberg w/ Sylvia Cole, Kyla Drushka, Ryan Abernathey





<u>Outline</u>

- how are along-track measurements made & why we prefer them
- what do we do with these measurements?
 - 1. spatial filtering and eddy kinetic energy (EKE) as a function of scale
 - 2. spatial filtering python library
 - 3. 1D tracks \rightarrow 2D maps

- science: using this tool we explore spatial and temporal patterns of EKE

with this analysis we hope to allow comparison between scale-aware observational estimates of EKE with model estimates of EKE across a variety of resolutions

<u>** Relationship / relevance to the CPT **</u>

Along-track absolute dynamic topography, ADT

- temporal resolution: track repeat time = 10 days
- horizontal resolution = 7 km
- * measurements are initially filtered to account for instrument noise *

Expectation:

- effective resolution is ~ 50 km
- we can adequately resolve mesoscale eddy energy





* sample from a decades long record *

processing and defining KE, MKE, EKE

Kinetic Energy (KE): along-track gradients of ADT

$$u_g = \frac{g}{f} \frac{d\eta}{dx}$$

ignoring the sign (Arbic et al. 2012)





Jason-2 subset track location





processing and defining KE, MKE, EKE



5



1D tracks to 2D global maps







review

generalized utility of this tool

- filter cross-track geostrophic velocity (or sea level anomaly) using a filter of your choice

- filter kernel: boxcar, Gaussian, 'sharp'
- filter method: fixed scale [km], fixed degree [°], L_{d_1}

- define and compute MKE and EKE for any and all filter scales

- a partitioning of energy into two reservoirs
- define and map to latitude/longitude grid

// data are accessed on Pangeo, where pre-processing is
consistent for all users
PANGEO



60-300 km at 20 km intervals

At one location and for two filter types





At one location and for two filter types





difference adjacent MKE estimates to determine energy within wavenumber bands

At one location and for two filter types





10

At five locations and for two filter types





11

how one might use this tool

Filter bands = 60-300 km at 10 km intervals

- energy within bands



- most energetic band...
- rate of decrease in variance across bands ...

- 0.7 - 0.6 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 - 0.0

stepping back to consider details of four sites (after making 2D maps) * 7 year dataset *





Month at which EKE is a maximum

small scales

< 80 km

medium scales

80 - 250 km

Lag

RED = larger scale energy peak precedes small scale energy peak

Ν

- O

S

- M

- A

- M

 $^{-1}$

-2

Grey regions (not land) are those at which maximal seasonal change is > 25% of mean EKE

Conclusions:

- we have developed a tool capable of filtering KE in a scale aware manner
 - designed for community use and comparison to model mesoscale eddy energy (resolved and unresolved)
 - variable filter type and filter framework
- using this tool :
 - We can show geographic patterns in the partitioning of energy across scales
 - We see a seasonal cycle in EKE that reveals locations where small scale EKE is maximum before medium-large scale EKE is maximum (evidence of the inverse cascade associated with geostrophic turbulence).





...we can and plan to use this filtering tool on in-situ 1d observations (Oleander)

Fraction of total Summer KE at scales 80.0 - 250.0 km 0.1 0.0







Take select tracks and for each cycle:

- add random error w/ 1.1 cm rms (200 times)



Take gradient, estimate velocity, filter, calculate MKE & EKE

