# Effects of eddy representation on vertical structure and energetics

Elizabeth Yankovsky Laure Zanna, Shafer Smith

Ocean Transport & Eddy Energy CPT New York University



## Outline

- Consider an idealized, one-basin setup within MOM6 (NeverWorld2):
  - 1/4°, 1/8°, 1/16°, and 1/32° resolutions
  - Comparing momentum, buoyancy, and energetic properties
- Specific questions we will address:
  - How do the NW2 experiments compare in resolving eddies?
  - How does the zonally-averaged isopycnal structure vary?
  - To what extent does eddy resolution affect properties of the kinetic energy?
    - Barotropic vs. baroclinic
    - Eddy vs. mean
- Next steps: considering APE and energy budgets

# Comparing $R_D/dx$ ratio at 1/4 degree

 $\bigstar \ R_D/dx = \left(\frac{NH}{\pi f}\right)/dx$ 

- ✤ Higher values→ increased eddy resolution
- ✤ We consider  $\frac{R_D}{dx} = 2$  as a rough cutoff between eddy resolving/not resolving.
- Idealized NW2 is broadly consistent with more realistic OM4



This is a conservative estimate – using QG linear stability analysis shows fastest growing modes are 2-4x smaller than  $R_D$ 

# Comparing R<sub>D</sub>/dx across NW2 resolutions



#### 500-day zonal average:



500-day ACC

Notable features:

- ACC region has smoother and less steep isopycnals with increasing resolution.
- Midlatitude gyres have shallower downwelling and less steep isopycnals with increasing resolution.
- Prominent standing meanders in ACC at all resolutions.
- Evidence of increased barotropization (stronger velocities at depth) with increasing resolution.

## Total KE increases with resolution

$$KE(i,j) = \left[\sum_{l=1:N} \frac{\rho_l h_l}{2\rho_0 D(i,j)} (u_l^2 + v_l^2)\right]$$





## Applying QG modal decomposition to constrain vertical structure

Thank you to Jake Steinberg for sharing code & discussions

#### Assuming flat bottom (w=0), free surface

Step 1: Solve for QG modes based on N<sup>2</sup>, layer positions at each point.



Step 2: Reconstruct the flow as a sum of QG modes of varying amplitudes at a given location.



7

Step 3: Solve for KE corresponding to each mode using velocity amplitudes. Consider time averaged structure or time series. Allows decomposition into BT (0<sup>th</sup> mode) and BC (1<sup>st</sup> :Nth modes)



#### Southern gyre:

- Increase in KE with resolution
- Strong temporal variability
- Strong barotropization between 1/4 and 1/8 degree





#### Northwest part of the domain:

- Weak mean flow, strong eddy signature
- Strong evidence of barotropization with resolution
- At low resolutions: energy trapped in higher BC modes





### **ACC** Region:

2.5

2.0

뷫 1.5

1.0 -

0.5 -

0.0

0.10

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

0.00

₩

- Strong mean flow .
- Modest increase in  $\frac{R_D}{dx}$  with resolution •
- Barotropization and increase in KE, but less than other regions •





200

Time (days)

100

0.02

0.00

300

## Zonally-averaged BT/BC decomposition

- Evidence of increasing barotropization with increasing model resolution
- As eddies are resolved, more momentum is transferred downwards creating a more uniform flow with depth



## Eddy/Mean BT/BC decomposition





As resolution increases:

# Flow becomes dominated by the BT eddy component.

# Contributions & next objectives

- Dominant trend in vertical structure: as eddy representation increases, increasing KE goes into the BT mode, particularly the BT-eddy component.
- We attribute this to the inaccurate representation of BC energy transfers in the lowresolution models which leads to buildup of BC energy and lack of barotropization.
- Next steps:
  - Consider APE, budgets to constrain energy transfers
  - Apply insights to developing scale-aware, energetically-consistent mesoscale eddy parameterizations

## Extra slides

### Eddy/Mean BT/BC decomposition





As resolution increases:

- KE increases
- BT component increases
- Eddy component increases



-4000

0

0.5

Amplitude  $\phi(z)$ 

- onto 20 linear levels.
- Compute growth rate vs. wavenumber, compare fastestgrowing wavenumber to deformation radius Rd wavenumber.
- Fastest growth rates have wavenumbers roughly 2x larger than Rd wavenumber (need finer resolutions).

0.06

0.04

Velocity (m/s)

-4000

0

0.02

#### 1/4° NeverWorld



#### 1/16° NeverWorld



- Agreement on the fastest growing wavenumbers (generally around 2-4x the deformation scale wavenumber)
- 1/4° simulation satisfies neither criterion for capturing mesoscale eddies.
- 1/8° generally partially captures deformation radius, not fastest growing mode.
- 1/16° captures deformation radius and the fastest growing mode with exception of gyre regions.

# Comparing BT/BC KE vs. resolution

Averaging 100 5-day averages



19