

Modeling the Greenland ice sheet englacial stratigraphy

Andreas Born Alexander Robinson

NCAR Land Ice Working Group Meeting 5 Feb 2021

What is the englacial stratigraphy?

- The ice sheet is built up by the accumulation of ice layers year by year.
- Each layer therefore represents a specific age (isochronal layers).



What is the englacial stratigraphy?

• Combined with precise age estimates from the ice cores, a 3D map of isochrones has been produced for the Greenland ice sheet.



Why simulate isochrones?

- Isochronal distribution is determined solely by ice dynamics, with no direct dependence on other variables.
- Could provide a powerful constraint on ice-sheet models!



MacGregor et al., 2015

Yelmo + Pancakes

- Yelmo is an open-source ice-sheet model designed for long timescale and ensemble simulations. It includes an Eulerian age-tracing scheme.
- Additional modules used to treat external processes (GIA, climatic forcing, oceanic melt).
- Isochronal model (codename: Pancakes) is an innovative ice-layer tracing model coupled to Yelmo.





Robinson et al., 2020; Born, 2016; Born and Robinson, in prep.

Modeling isochrones = modeling ice layers

- Isochronal model explicitly models age layers in the vertical direction.
- Inputs (obtained from Yelmo):
 - ice thickness
 - 3D horizontal velocity field
 - mass fluxes at the ice surface and the bed
- Layer resolution used here is 200 yrs.



Experimental design

- Transient ice-sheet simulations from 160 kyr ago to present day, 32 km resolution.
- Index method used to obtain transient climatic forcing from PMIP3 LGM snapshots and present-day climatology:

 $T = T_{\mathrm{pd}} + \alpha_c \left(T_{\mathrm{lgm}} - T_{\mathrm{pre}} \right)$

 LGM precipitation field is perturbed via standard deviation to assess impact on isochrones:

 $P_{\rm LGM} = \bar{P}_{\rm LGM} + f_{\rm LGM} \sigma_P$

• Mid-Holocene precipitation is also perturbed via another index with a free parameter ΔP_{HOL} .

$$P = P_{\rm pd} \left(\alpha_c \left[\frac{P_{\rm lgm}}{P_{\rm pre}} - 1 \right] + 1 \right) + \alpha_p \Delta P_{\rm hole}$$



7

mm/yr

1600

800

400

150

80

20

Experimental design



Temperature

Precipitation





Enhancement factor treated as a tracer



 $E_{\rm ref}$ changes instantaneously depending on the flow regime (shear or streaming):

$$E_{\rm ref} = f_z E_{\rm shr} + (1 - f_z) E_{\rm strm}$$

Tracer field advected through the ice sheet, with surface value prescribed following index method:

$$E_t(z = z_s) = \alpha_e E_{\text{glac}} + (1 - \alpha_e) E_{\text{int}}$$



Enhancement factor treated as a tracer



 $E_{\rm ref}$ changes instantaneously depending on the flow regime (shear or streaming):

 $E_{\rm ref} = f_z \frac{E_{\rm shr}}{E_{\rm shr}} + (1 - f_z) E_{\rm strm}$

Tracer field advected through the ice sheet, with surface value prescribed following index method:

$$E_t(z = z_s) = \alpha_e E_{\text{glac}} + (1 - \alpha_e) E_{\text{int}}$$



Friction tuning



Friction law

$$\beta = \frac{c_b}{u_0} N_{\text{eff}} = \frac{c_b}{u_0} (\rho g H)$$

 $u_0 = 100 \text{ m/a}; c_b \in (0,1)$

Optimization strategy

$$c_b^{n+1} = c_b \ 10^{-\epsilon}$$
 $\epsilon = \frac{H - H_{obs}}{1000} \in (-1.5, 1.5)$
Note: ϵ is calculated upstream of the optimization point

Friction tuning

After 1 iteration...

After 10 iterations...



Friction tuning



• c_b solution converges (does not improve) within ~10 iterations.

Transient simulations



- c_b solution converges (does not improve) within ~10 iterations.
- Despite the same c_b field, full transient simulation is much different at LGM, but retains PD fidelity.

Transient simulations



- c_b solution converges (does not improve) within ~10 iterations.
- Despite the same c_b field, full transient simulation is much different at LGM, but retains PD fidelity.

 A nice optimal simulation can be found when PD ice thickness is only constraint (green cross, top row).



- A nice optimal simulation can be found when PD ice thickness is only constraint (green cross, top row).
- This simulation does poorly in simulating the 11.7 kyr (and all) isochronal layers!







18

- A nice optimal simulation can be found when PD ice thickness is only constraint (green cross, top row).
- This simulation does poorly in simulating the 11.7 kyr (and all) isochronal layers!
- Isochronal layers provide additional information about model-parameter performance.
- Precipitation during the Holocene influences all isochronal depths significantly

 LGM precipitation influences older isochrones.

- Simulation optimized to match ice thickness and isochronal layer depths [blue line] does a pretty good job of capturing the age-depth profile at individual ice cores.
- Only optimizing for ice thickness [green line] shows a much poorer match.
- Additionally, simulating the isochrones with an Eulerian tracer model [orange line] shows deficiencies compared to the layer-tracing scheme, though it is better than nothing.

Conclusions and outlook

- Isochronal layers do provide valuable information for constraining simulations but the devil is in the details!
- Using Eulerian tracer methods leads to biases in the age distribution in the ice sheet, but it can be a useful first-order check.
- Precipitation is a critical input and important to do well inverse modeling may help here:

