

2018 CESM Polar Modeling Workshop Boulder, CO August 14, 2018

# The CESM Decadal Prediction Large Ensemble & multi-year forecasts of Arctic sea ice

Steve Yeager Climate & Global Dynamics Laboratory National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado







# What is decadal climate prediction?



Kirtman & Power, 2013: Near-term Climate Change: Projections and Predictability. *IPCC 5<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report, Chapter 11.* 

# **Climate Projections vs. Climate Predictions**



DP: initialized decadal prediction ensembleUI: "uninitialized" 20<sup>th</sup> century ensemble

U U D P

time

Kirtman & Power, 2013: Near-term Climate Change: Projections and Predictability. *IPCC 5<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report, Chapter 11.* 

Branstator & Teng, 2010: Two limits of initial-value decadal predictability in a CGCM, *J Climate*, 23, 6292–6311.

# **Climate Projections vs. Climate Predictions**



**DP**: initialized decadal prediction ensemble **UI**: "uninitialized" 20<sup>th</sup> century ensemble

Ul ensemble : Earth system response (mean & spread) to external forcings.

DP ensemble : Earth system response to external forcings AND internal processes associated with initialization.



time

- → "historical/projection runs"
- → "hindcast/forecast runs"

# **Climate Projections vs. Climate Predictions**



#### time

Both ensembles (UI and DP) are needed to fully understand the mechanisms underpinning Earth system prediction. E.g., To what extent does initialization improve near-term regional climate outlooks?

Larger ensembles yield better resolved climate PDFs for probabilistic skill assessment.

#### Introduction

# **DP Skill Assessment**



Boer et al., 2013, Clim Dyn, doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1705-0

Experiment Name	CCSM4-DP	CESM-DPLE			
<u>Model</u> -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	CCSM4 CAM4 (FV 1°, 26lvl) POP2 (1°, 60lvl) CICE4 (1°) CLM4	CESM1.1 CAM5 (FV 1°, 30lvl) POP2 (1°, 60lvl) w/ BGC CICE4 (1°) CLM4			
Uninitialized Ensemble (UI)	6-member CCSM4 20 <sup>th</sup> century ensemble (Meehl et al., 2012)	<b>40-member CESM 20<sup>th</sup> century</b> <b>Large Ensemble</b> (Kay et al., 2015)			
Forcing	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 RCP 4.5	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 <b>RCP 8.5</b>			
Initialization -method -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	full field UI CORE-forced FOSI CORE-forced FOSI UI	full field UI CORE*-forced FOSI CORE*-forced FOSI UI			
Ensembles -ensemble size -start dates -ensemble generation -simulation length	10 annual; Jan. 1 <sup>st</sup> 1955-2014 (N=60) Variable January start days + round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions 120 months	40 annual; Nov. 1 <sup>st</sup> 1954-2015 (N=62) round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions 122 months			

CMIP5-era (2011)

#### CMIP6-era (2017)

Experiment Name	CESM-DPLE
<u>Model</u> -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	CESM1.1 (CESM-LE tag) CAM5 (FV 1°, 30lvl) POP2 (1°, 60lvl) w/ BGC CICE4 (1°) CLM4
Uninitialized Ensemble (UI)	40-member CESM 20 <sup>th</sup> century Large Ensemble (Kay et al., 2015)
Forcing	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 <b>RCP 8.5</b>
Initialization -method -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	full field UI CORE*-forced FOSI CORE*-forced FOSI UI
<u>Ensembles</u> -ensemble size -start dates -ensemble generation	<b>40</b> annual; <b>Nov. 1</b> <sup>st</sup> <b>1954-2015</b> ( <b>N=62</b> ) round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions
-simulation length	122 months



Kay et al., 2015: The Community Earth System Model (CESM) Large Ensemble Project: A Community Resource for Studying Climate Change in the Presence of Internal Climate Variability, *BAMS*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1.

# Comparing CESM-DPLE to CESM-LE isolates the impact of initialization on hindcast skill.

Experiment Name	CESM-DPLE
<u>Model</u> -atm -ocn -ice -lnd	CESM1.1 (CESM-LE tag) CAM5 (FV 1°, 30lvl) POP2 (1°, 60lvl) w/ BGC CICE4 (1°) CLM4
Uninitialized Ensemble (UI)	40-member CESM 20 <sup>th</sup> century Large Ensemble (Kay et al., 2015)
Forcing	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 <b>RCP 8.5</b>
<u>Initialization</u> -method -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	full field Ul CORE*-forced FOSI CORE*-forced FOSI Ul
<u>Ensembles</u> -ensemble size -start dates -ensemble generation	40 annual; Nov. 1 <sup>st</sup> 1954-2015 (N=62) round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions
-simulation length	122 months

Includes historical volcanic aerosol forcing, following standard protocol (this allows for more powerful test of the effects of initialization when comparing to the UI ensemble).



Experiment Name	CESM-DPLE
<u>Model</u> -atm -ocn -ice -lnd	CESM1.1 (CESM-LE tag) CAM5 (FV 1°, 30IvI) POP2 (1°, 60IvI) w/ BGC CICE4 (1°) CLM4
Uninitialized Ensemble (UI)	40-member CESM 20 <sup>th</sup> century Large Ensemble (Kay et al., 2015)
Forcing	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 <b>RCP 8.5</b>
Initialization	
-method	full field
-atm	UI
-ocn	CORE*-forced FOSI
-ice -Ind	UI
Ensembles	
-ensemble size	40
-start dates	annual; <b>Nov. 1<sup>st</sup> 1954-2015</b> ( <b>N=62</b> )
-ensemble generation	round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions
-simulation length	122 months

**ATM & LND** components initialized from a CESM-LE historical run.

OCN & ICE components initialized from a forced ocean—sea-ice (FOSI) simulation spanning 1948-2015 → "FOSI" or "CORE"

Experiment Name	CESM-DPLE
<u>Model</u> -atm -ocn -ice -lnd	CESM1.1 (CESM-LE tag) CAM5 (FV 1°, 30lvl) POP2 (1°, 60lvl) w/ BGC CICE4 (1°) CLM4
Uninitialized Ensemble (UI)	40-member CESM 20 <sup>th</sup> century Large Ensemble (Kay et al., 2015)
Forcing	-2005: CMIP5 historical 2006-: CMIP5 <b>RCP 8.5</b>
<u>Initialization</u> -method -atm -ocn -ice -Ind	full field UI CORE*-forced FOSI CORE*-forced FOSI UI
<u>Ensembles</u> -ensemble size -start dates -ensemble generation	40 annual; Nov. 1 <sup>st</sup> 1954-2015 (N=62) round-off perturbation of atm initial conditions
-simulation length	122 months

Ensemble size 40, comparable to CESM-LE. Generated via round-off perturbation to ATM initial conditions.

62 distinct ensembles initialized yearly, integrated out 10 years.

~25,000 sim-year experiment!

#### **CESM Decadal Prediction Large Ensemble**

#### http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/DPLE/



# / CESM Projects / Community Projects / DPI

#### DPLE | Decadal Prediction Large Ensemble Project

The CESM Decadal Prediction Large Ensemble (DPLE) is a set of simulations carried out at NCAR to support research into near-term Earth System prediction. The DPLE comprises 62 distinct ensembles, one for each of 62 hitkalization times (November 1 of 1954, 1955, ..., 2014, 2015). For each start date, a 40-member ensemble was generated by randomly perturbing the atmospheric initial condition at the round-off level. The simulations were integrated forward for 122 months after initialization. Observation-based ocean and sea ice initial conditions for the 1954-2015 period were obtained from a reanalysis-forced simulation of the CESM ocean and sea ice models. The initial conditions for the atmosphere and land models were obtained from CESM Large Ensemble (LENS) simulations at corresponding historical times. Full field initialization was used for all component models, and so drift adjustment prior to analysis is generally recommended (e.g., see here).

The DPLE was run using the same CESM code base, configuration details, component resolutions (norminally 1-degree in both atmosphere and ocean), and external forcing datasets as for the CESM LENS project. DPLE therefore represents the "initialized" complement to the LENS simulations. Steve Yeager (yeager@ucar.edu) at NCAR is the primary contact for DPLE-related inquiries. Nan Rosenbloom and Gary Strand were instrumental in the setup, running, and post-processing of the DPLE simulations, with assistance from Sheri Mickelson, Alice Bertini, Jim Edwards, and Shiquan Su. The initial 10 members were made possible by a Department of Energy award of computer time on machines at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC). The additional 30 members were completed in early 2017 thanks to a CISL Accelerated Scientific Discovery award on Cheyenne.

The DPLE is a CESM community project, and the output from the simulations is available to anyone who is interested. Monthy, daily, and 6-hourly outputs are archived on the NCAR HPSS system and accessible from the Earth System Grid (http://www.earthsystemgrid.org) as single variable timeseries. A list of available output fields can be found here. (NOTE: Not all fields are available for all 40 members. See Known Issues link for further details.) If you are interested in in analyzing the CESM-DPLE, we kindly ask that you provide a short description of your proposed research focus to Steve Yeager (peager@uca.redu) to be included in the list of ongoing projects (see sidebar).

An overview article describing the DPLE has been published in the Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society:

Yeager, S. G., G. Danabasoglu, N. Rosenbloom, W. Strand, S. Bates, G. Meehl, A. Karspeck, K. Lindsay, M. C. Long, H. Teng, and N. S. Lovenduski, 2018: Predicting near-term changes in the Earth System: A large ensemble of initialized decadal prediction simulations using the Community Earth System Model, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, doi: .

#### **CESM** Project

CESM is a fully-coupled, community, global climate model that provides state-of-the-art computer simulations of the Earth's past, present, and future climate states.

CESM is sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Administration of the CESM is maintained by the Climate and Global Dynamics Laboratory (CGD) at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR).

#### DPLE Community Project

Project Description Simulation Details Diagnostics Data Sets Available to the Community Publications On-Going Research Projects Known Issues

Yeager et al., 2018: Predicting near-term changes in the Earth System: A large ensemble of initialized decadal prediction simulations using the Community Earth System Model, *Bull Amer Meteor Soc*, in press, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0098.1.

#### (OBS = ERSSTv5)

 Anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC)

 $\rightarrow$  Skill improvement over persistence ( $\Delta$ ACC)

 Skill improvement over UI (ΔACC)



(Yeager et al. 2018)

#### (OBS = UKMO EN4)



(Yeager et al. 2018)

• Skillful prediction of NATL SST associated with skillful prediction of upper ocean heat content

#### CESMI-DPLE: Annual T295 Skill (detrended)

#### (OBS = UKMO EN4)

LY 1-5 LY 3-7 LY 5-9 ACC ACC Anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) Skill improvement over persistence ( $\Delta ACC$ ) Skill improvement over UI ( $\Delta ACC$ ) ACC -0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 0 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 ∆ACC 0

(Yeager et al. 2018)

• Skillful prediction of NATL SST associated with skillful prediction of upper ocean heat content

#### CESMI-DPLE: Annual T295/SST Skill (detrended)



#### ACC(DP,OBS)

(OBS = Met Office EN4 & HadISST)

(detrended 3-year means)

#### CESIMI-DPLE: Annual T295/IFRAC Skill (detrended)

T295:

#### JFM Ice Fraction:



(detrended 3-year means)



#### Predicting Atlantic Ocean Heat Transport into the Arctic

80°N

70°N

60°N

50°N

80°N

70°N

60°N

50°N

#### ACC(DP,CORE)



SST (°C) 276m-average Ocean Temperature (°C) a. EN4 b. OBS 80°N 70°N 60°N 50°N Atlantic Poleward Heat Transport (TW) d. CORE e. CORE c. CORE g. DP, 5-7 f. DP, 5-7 h. DP, 5-7 2000 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2020 1960 1970 1980 1990 2010 2020 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 2020 -50.00 -40.00 -30.00 -20.00 -10.00 0 10.00 20.00 30.00 40.00 50.00 ΤW 0 °C -0.80 -0.64 -0.48 -0.32 -0.16 0.16 0.32 0.48 0.64 0.80

(Yeager et al., 2015)

#### Predicting Winter Sea Ice Trends







0.04

0

0.08

0.12

0.16

0.20

fraction/decade

Yeager, Karspeck, and Danabasoglu, 2015: Predicted slowdown in the rate of Atlantic sea ice loss, *Geophys Res Lett*, doi: 10.1002/2015GL065364.

-0.20

-0.16

-0.12

-0.08

-0.04



Yeager, Karspeck, and Danabasoglu, 2015: Predicted slowdown in the rate of Atlantic sea ice loss, *Geophys Res Lett*, doi: 10.1002/2015GL065364.



Yeager, Karspeck, and Danabasoglu, 2015: Predicted slowdown in the rate of Atlantic sea ice loss, *Geophys Res Lett*, doi: 10.1002/2015GL065364.

#### **Predicting Winter Sea Ice Trends**

10-year trends (x-axis = start year of trend)

- Skillful retrospective prediction of observed decadal trends in Arctic winter sea ice extent.
- Significant skill enhancement associated with initialization.
- Prediction of recent slowdown and suggestion of extended future hiatus in the rate of Atlantic winter sea ice loss.



(Yeager et al., 2015)

#### 10-member CCSM4-DP

#### OBS through JFM 2015



#### • 40-member CESM-DPLE

• OBS through JFM 2018



# The CESM Decadal Prediction Large Ensemble: Forecasting decadal trends in the North Atlantic and Arctic

# Summary

- New 40-member decadal prediction simulation set (CESM-DPLE) available for analysis: http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/DPLE/ (the initialized complement to the CESM-LE of Kay et al. 2015)
- CESM-DPLE shows good promise for investigations of Arctic decadal predictability associated with high, long-lasting skill at predicting upper ocean heat content in the subpolar Atlantic Ocean, Nordic & Barents Seas.
- Initialization results in much improved ability to forecast the magnitude and location of multi-year Arctic sea ice change, particularly in Atlantic sector in winter. Focusing on the (decadal) rate of change helps to:
  - 1. highlight skill improvement over UI in the context of strong externally-forced trends
  - 2. isolate predictable (low-frequency, ocean-driven) variability from unpredictable (high-frequency, wind-driven) variability
  - 3. distinguish initialized skill from that due to simple persistence
- Initialized prediction suggests that we are unlikely to see a return of the extreme rates of winter sea ice loss witnessed in the late 1990s anytime soon. Coming years will provide crucial tests of current DP forecasts.

### Mechanism

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Changes in surface formation of NADW linked to winter NAO are reflected in decadal density anomalies in the Labrador Sea (in OBS and CORE). These ρ' are crucial aspects of DP initial conditions (but are not well-predicted).
- However, the DP simulations show excellent skill at predicting the propagation of ρ' into the Grand Banks region.
- This explains the high skill at predicting decadal modulations in the strength of the buoyancy-driven gyre with associated decadal heat transport changes across 50°N...
- Future SPG cooling linked to cumulative deficit of NADW since ~1996 (Robson et al. 2014; Hermanson et al. 2014; McCarthy et al. 2015; Yeager et al. 2015)

Yeager and Robson, 2017: Recent Progress in Understanding and Predicting Atlantic Decadal Climate Variability, *Curr Clim Change Rep*, doi: 10.1007/s40641-017-0064-z.

## **CORE-forced POP-CICE (Mean State)**

#### JFM sea ice fraction:

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### JAS sea ice fraction:

# SSMI JAS (1979-2013)

0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1

CORE-II JAS (1979-2013)

90E

_										_
0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1

#### JFM sea ice thickness:

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

4.5 4 3.5 2.5 2.5 1.5 1 0.5 0.1

![](_page_26_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### Summer NH sea ice extent 40°N-82°N :

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Predicting trends in summer SIE

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

10-yr trend

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)