# Interactive fire emissions in CESM2

Simone Tilmes, David Lawrence, Marika Holland, John Fasullo, with support from Cecile Hannay, Julio Bachmeister, Dave Bailey



Thanks to Wenfu Tang

#### Motivation

- Prescribed fire emissions in CMIP6 are too low for PI conditions (Hamilton et al., 2018)
- Trend in fire emissions is likely unrealistic in CMIP6
- Interactive fire emissions in tune with meteorology in the model

### Basic CESM2.2.0 default setup:

- PI control simulation runs without land-use
- In 1850, sudden increase in fire C emissions due to unburned carbon accumulation
- Sudden increase in fire C emission
- Drop in temperatures with more fire emissions with the start of the historical simulation

## Interactive fire emissions in CESM2



• Drop in temperatures with more fire emissions with the start of the historical simulation in previous setup

# Interactive fire emissions in CESM2: New PI Control Simulation

80

100



#### CESM2.2 setup

- Start in 1750
- Updated wet scavenging ullet
- **OASISS** ocean emissions (more ulletSO4)
- -> model out of balance

## CESM2.2 tuning

- clubb\_gamma\_coef 0.30 =
- dust emis\_fact = 0.65

### Sea-ice tuning

- r snw = 1.6
- dt mlt = 0.2
- rsnw mlt = 1000.

# Interactive fire emissions in CESM2: New Historical Simulation



#### CESM2.2 setup

- Start in 1750
- Updated wet scavenging
- OASISS ocean emissions (more SO4)
- -> model out of balance

### **CESM2.2** tuning

2010

- clubb\_gamma\_coef = 0.30
- dust\_emis\_fact = 0.65

### Sea-ice tuning

- r\_snw = 1.6
- dt\_mlt = 0.2
- rsnw\_mlt = 1000.