Plans for the CCWG: 2007 and beyond

CCWG co-chairs Gerald A. Meehl, Warren M. Washington, and Ben Santer

NCAR

CCWG activities in the past year

PCM and CCSM3 made significant contributions to the IPCC AR4 (through individual papers focusing specifically on PCM and CCSM3, and PCM and CCSM3 data in the CMIP3 multi-model dataset at PCMDI now accessed by over 1000 scientists, and over 200 papers published so far); analyses of PCM and CCSM3 climate change experiments are ongoing

CCSM3 T42 30 member ensemble (with CVWG), 2000-2061

CCSM3 5 member ensembles, 20th century experiments with natural forcings, and with anthropogenic forcings

CCSM3 carbon aerosol 6 member ensemble

Test runs of T170 version of CCSM3

Formulate mitigation scenario experiments

A strategy is being proposed by the WCRP Working Group on Coupled Models (WGCM) and Analysis Integration and Modeling of the Earth System (AIMES) for an experimental design addressing aspects of near term and longer term stabilization experiments

Begun at an Aspen Global Change Institute session August 2006, and continued at a joint WGCM/AIMES meeting in Victoria September 2006

EOS article summarizing process appeared several weeks ago (Hibbard, Meehl, Cox and Friedlingstein, 2007: A strategy for climate change stabilization experiments, EOS)

And a WCRP/CLIVAR/IGBP white paper (Meehl and Hibbard, 2007) contains more details

Designed to directly coordinate climate modeling, impacts and scenario communities (IPCC WGs 1, 2, and 3)

Two classes of models for two time frames and types of scientific questions:

- 1. Near term (decadal prediction), out to 2030, high resolution, chemistry and aerosols, no carbon cycle feedback, single scenario (e.g. regional climate change, extremes)
- 2. Longer term, out to 2100 and beyond, lower resolution, carbon cycle, two benchmark stabilization concentration scenarios, low and high, (e.g. quantify carbon cycle feedbacks, relative effects of policy actions for mitigation/adaptation)

• Forward approach: start with socio-economic variables



• Reverse approach: start with stabilization scenario concentrations





Land/Ocean CO₂ fluxes are NOT interactive with atmosphere

Experiment #2: Carbon Cycle sees CO_2 Concentrations from Experiment #1; atmospheric CO_2 and T are constant;

Land/Ocean CO_2 fluxes saved to derive emissions for WG3

Difference in derived emissions between experiments 1 and 2 is magnitude of carbon cycle feedback in terms of emissions



Land/Ocean CO2 fluxes are NOT interactive with atmosphere

Experiment #3: fully coupled ESM driven by emissions

emissions from Exp. #1 are used to drive carbon cycle-climate model; difference in climate change between experiment 1 and 3 is magnitude of carbon cycle feedback in terms of climate change



Interpolation between benchmark scenarios done by pattern scaling and use of EMICs

Groups with either ESMs or AOGCMs can participate

Experiments are being planned to address science questions of interest to the communities involved, but could also be the basis for assessment in a possible IPCC AR5 (TBD spring, 2008)

Experiments to be done with versions of CCSM4 in about 2009-2010 time frame

Feedback solicited from modeling community leading to WGCM meeting September, 2007,Hamburg

"Scenario consortium" planning September 2007 meeting in Amsterdam to determine benchmark concentration scenarios (lead-in EMF meeting in Snowmass, CO, July, 2007)

Benchmark scenarios finalized spring 2008

Most modeling groups will finalize new model versions about 2009 and begin running experiments around 2009-2010



