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Fig. 18. Mean 200-hPa transient eddy kinetic energy (DJF) from (top) CAM3 and (bottom) ERA-40. The contour interval is $20 \mathrm{~m} 2 \mathrm{~s}-2$, and values greater than $60 \mathrm{~m} 2 \mathrm{~s}-2$ are stippled. (Hurrell et al. 2006)

b) CCSM3 present-day control run


Fig. 1. Cyclone trajectory counts during DJFM for (a) ERA-40 for years 1958-2000 and (b) CCSM3 for years 501-540. The contour interval is every three cyclones per year within a $500-\mathrm{km}$ distance of a given point. (Alexander et al. 2006)

## Storm Track Methodology



Serreze 1995, Serreze et al. 1997, Hodges 1994, Koenig et al. 1993, Blender et al. 1997, Sinclair 1997, Simmonds and Keay 2000, Gulev et al. 2001, Eichler and Higgins 2006, Lambert and Fyfe 2006, Bengtsson et al. 2006

Vorticity tracking: Sinclair 1997, Simmonds and Keay 2000, Rudeva and Gulev 2007, Greeves et al. 2007

Resolution of the tracking variable: Blander and Schubert 2000, Jung et al. 2006

Map projection of the tracking variable: Zolina and Gulev 2002

## Our Cyclone Tracking Algorithm

## Step1:

$>$ the SLP of a cyclone candidate is lower than all eight surrounding grid points
$>$ the SLP gradient between the cyclone candidate center and its eight surrounding grids is no smaller than $0.15 \mathrm{hPa} / 100 \mathrm{~km}$
$>$ the pressure gradient between the four surrounding grid points and their outside adjacent grid points must be negative inward
$>$ if there are more than one low pressure systems within 1200 km , the grid point with lower SLP is considered as the cyclone center

## Step2:

$>$ cyclone genesis occurs when there is no recorded ${ }^{300}$ cyclone within a radius of 700 km 6 hours earlier
>cyclones should last longer than 2 days

## Data: 6hrly SLP CCSM3 historical run and A1B



## JFM Cyclone Frequency Climatology 1950-1999



Events/5x5 degree

Correlation between the frequency of the intense cyclone (slp <980 hPa) And

## PNA (Pacific)


b) CCSM3 PNA


NAO (Atlantic)
c) Reanalysis NAO


Consistent with Gulev et al. (2001)

## Future change in JFM CCSM3 A1B 2-8-day EKE (2080-2099 relative to 1980-1999)



CCSM3 JFM cyclone frequency anomalies 2080-2099 relative to 1980-1999


JFM 300 hPa u climatology 1980-1999


JFM 300 hPa u 2080-2099 minus 1980-1999


## Baroclinicity parameter:

Eady growth rate maximum at 780 hPa Lindzen and Farrell (1980) and Hoskins and Valdes (1990)

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{BI}}=0.31 f|\partial \mathbf{V} / \partial \mathrm{Z}| \mathrm{N}^{-1}
$$

JFM $\sigma_{\text {BI }}$ 2080-2099 minus 1980-1999


## Mean state change: one member vs. 9 members

JFM U300 2080-2099 minus 1980-1999


Shading indicates significant population difference at the $90 \%$ confidence level.

Stippling indicates at least 6 out of the 9 members agree on the sign of the anomalies.

## Conclusions

- One CCSM3 $21^{\text {st }}$ century A1B scenario realization indicates there is a significant increase in the extratropical cyclone frequency on the US west coast and decrease in Alaska. Meanwhile, cyclone frequency increases from the Great Lakes region to Quebec and decreases over the US east coast, suggesting a possible northward shift of the Atlantic storm tracks under the warmer climate.
- The cyclone frequency anomalies are closely linked to changes in seasonal mean states of the upper-troposphere zonal wind and baroclinicity in the lower troposphere.
- Due to lack of 6 -hourly outputs, we cannot apply the cyclone tracking algorithm to the other 8 CCSM3 realizations. Yet based on the linkage between the mean state change and the cyclone frequency anomalies, it is likely a common feature among other ensemble members that cyclone activity is reduced on the East Coast and in Alaska as a result of global warming.

