## AC&C Hindcasts: The Emerging Format



#### **Overview of Timeline:**

- May 2011 Initial Discussions: P. Hess\_(ACC1), M. Schulz, M. Chin (AEROCOM), J.F. Lamarque, D. Shindell (ACC-MIP), D. Waugh (CCMval), F. Dentener, T. Keating (HTAP), P. Monks (IGAC), I. Bey, C. Granier (PEGASOS), J. Stahelin (SPARC)
- Aug-Sept 2011 Straw-man document for community input and comment
  - Hindcast Specifications and Procedures
  - Initial identification of multi-year measurement timeseries



Nov-Dec 2011 Finalize document and begin hindcasts



#### **Contributing Hindcast Activities**



acec

atmospheric chemistry

& clima

#### Foci of Various Partners



AEROCOM: Focus on Aerosols, but many models include chemistry and Oxidants

ACC-MIP: Focus on Chemistry-Climate Interactions. Hindcast simulations include climatological SST w/o interannual variability

CCMVAL: Focus on lower stratosphere/upper troposphere, impact of stratosphere on tropospheric chemistry including STE

HTAP: Focus on intercontinental transport and air pollution

PEGASOS: Focus on air quality and climate





#### **Unify Various Hindcast Experiments by:**



- Required output/diagnostics to facilitate model comparison and evaluation.
- Specification of multi-year external forcings (e.g., emissions) needed to drive the simulations.
- Guidelines on the types of chemical models and meteorological fields that can usefully participate
- Initial identification of multi-year measurement timeseries
- A common site to archive data
- A common data access policy

**NOTE:** Output defined by various participatory groups may differ. For example ACC-HTAP may request output of source-receptor tracers of intercontinental transport; ACC-CCMVAL may request stratospheric chlorine loading. ACC will specify common output to be provided by all participating groups.





## Advantage of common hindcast framework:



- •One model simulation necessary to participate in various hindcast activities.
- •Increased participation in any particular hindcast activity is more likely.
- •Single data repository will allow broader use of data.
- •More widespread data sharing and analysis methods between groups.
- •Larger variation in types of models participating.





Overall Goals and Focus



- Focus on tropospheric oxidants (OH, O3)

- Evaluate the capability of current atmospheric chemistry models to integrate over the variations and trends in circulation and climate, in emissions, and in chemical feedbacks that control atmospheric composition

-Identify most important processes that determine tropospheric interannual variability including: emission changes, stratospheric changes, dynamical influences including STE, ENSO, NAO/AO and climate

-Derive objective measures of uncertainty in modeling atmospheric chemistry and transport and thus in projecting future composition





Participating Models\*:

1)Chemistry-Transport Models driven by reanalysis winds.

- These models allow for more straightforward model-data comparisons.
- They have some disadvantages in accurately representing stratospheric circulation as well as problems in tropospheric temperature and humidity trends (Hess and Mahowald, 2009; Trenbert et al., 2011 and references)

2)GCMs driven by observed SSTs.

- These models allow best evaluation of models used in the IPCC and allow best comparison with ACC-MIP experiments.
- Can be used to evaluate forced response to SST changes.
- 3) Models that include explicit stratospheric circulation and chemistry
  - Includes more realistic representation of stratospheric processes.
  - Allows best comparison with CCMval.



\*All models will be global with defined minimum resolution and tropospheric photochemistry. Analysis concentrated on large spatial and temporal timescales.



tmosphéric

chemistry



Table 6: Change in globally averaged selected variables with year in SCAM and SNCEP. Three dimensional fields are density weighted and averaged between the surface and 280 hPa. Only results significant at the 95 percentile are shown. Results significant at the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile are shown in bold. Three dimension quantities evaluated at the surface are prefixed with Sfc. The units of the "change"/year are the units given for each variable.

	SCAM	SNCEP
O3 (ppbv)	NS	7.17E-02
CO (ppbv)	-3.24E-05	NS
OH (mole/mole x10 <sup>15</sup> )	5.26E-02	NS
HNO3 (pptv)	NS	-1.18E-01
Sfc O3 (ppbv)	-9.10E-03	4.80E-02
Sfc T (C)	1.11E-02	NS
Sfc JNO2 (s <sup>-1</sup> x 10 <sup>-3</sup> )	-4.14E-04	NS
LNO (TgN/year)1	7.10E-03	1.52E-02
PBLH (m) <sup>2</sup>	NS	2.60E-01
PRECT (mm/day)3	1.40E-03	5.20E-03
Q (g/kg)4	3.30E-03	NS

<sup>1</sup> Source of lightning odd nitrogen in Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>2</sup> Planetary Boundary Layer Height; <sup>3</sup> Total Precipitation; <sup>4</sup> Water Vapor



Hess and Mahowald, 2009



Participating Models\*:

1)Chemistry-Transport Models driven by reanalysis winds.

- These models allow for more straightforward model-data comparisons.
- They have some disadvantages in accurately representing stratospheric circulation as well as problems in tropospheric temperature and humidity trends (Hess and Mahowald, 2009; Trenbert et al., 2011 and references)

2)GCMs driven by observed SSTs.

- These models allow best evaluation of models used in the IPCC and allow best comparison with ACC-MIP experiments.
- Can be used to evaluate forced response to SST changes.
- 3) Models that include explicit stratospheric circulation and chemistry
  - Includes more realistic representation of stratospheric processes.
  - Allows best comparison with CCMval.



\*All models will be global with defined minimum resolution and tropospheric photochemistry. Analysis concentrated on large spatial and temporal timescales.







Hess and Zbinden, 2011







## Time Periods for Hindcasts:

Primary period:

1<u>)1980 - Present Day</u>

 Reanalysis products reasonably consistent and measurement network increasing dense

# Secondary periods:

2) <u>1960 - Present Day.</u>

- Captures stratospheric ozone destruction and recovery.
- Allows better statistics between climatological forcing and atmospheric chemical response (e.g., correlation with El Nino)
- But, is problematic with the use of analyzed winds due to incorporation of satellite data after 1979(Hess and Mahowald)

3) <u>1997 - Present</u>

- Proposed intensive analysis period with more frequent model output.
- Allows evaluation against satellite measurements
- Probably too short to allow interannual variability to be





# AC&C1 Hindcasts Forcings for Hindcasts:

atmospheric chemistry & climate

Anthropogenic Emissions:

-MACCity project Emissions/Global Reactive Gases group (<u>http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu/</u>)

Prior to 2000: Based on ACC-MIP emissions

•Post 2000: Based on RCP 8.5, which includes some information concerning emissions in North America, Europe and Asia.

#### <u>Natural Emissions:</u>

-Dust, Sea-salts, BVOC, soil NOx, lightning NOx. Calculated on-line if possible or obtained from participating group





## AC&C1 Hindcasts Forcings for Hindcasts Continued:



<u>Radiative gasses including methane:</u> -Specified at lower boundary

<u>Solar cycle and stratospheric aerosol loading</u> - Specified as in CCMVAL <u>http://www.pa.op.dlr.de/CCMVal/Forcings</u> /CCMVal\_Forcings\_WMO2010.html)

<u>SSTs:</u> -Specified from common dataset

<u>Meteorogical Reanalysis</u> - Users Choice





# Outputs (under discussion):

- Ozone budget terms
- OH budget terms
- -PAN budget terms?
- -Longlived tracers (e.g., CFCs) for diagnosing transport and STE
- Diagnostic Tracers (under discussion)
  - For boundary layer transport
  - ·For STE
  - For convection
- Species diagnosing surface air quality

# Future Sensitivity Experiments:

-Constant emissions -Specified Methane emissions w/ varying OH fields







## Coordination w/ measurements/analysis



- <u>Ozone Trends</u>: (orgnizers Thouret, Cammas, Logan, Shultz, Oltmans, Parrish, Staehelin, Tarasick, Galbally, Tarasova) **Charge:** use of available tropospheric measurement to give regionally robust tropospheric ozone trends and variability.







15

#### Coordination w/ measurements/analysis



-<u>Satellite observations (Randall Martin for NO2, but will enlist others)</u> Charge: evaluate model output against satellite record



Courtesy: Claire Granier Satellite and model NO2 over Pearl River Delta Models cannot reproduce Trends or observations.







<u>Inputs necessary and welcome:</u> -Hindcast formulation -Measurement datasets/analysis for verification -Interesting and necessary model output -Comments

Thanks!



