

# ***Natural variation in ENSO flavors***



Matt Newman<sup>1,2</sup>, Sang-Ik Shin<sup>3</sup>, and  
Mike Alexander<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CIRES/CDC, University of Colorado <sup>2</sup>NOAA/ESRL/PSD

<sup>3</sup>College of Marine Science, University of Florida

Newman, M., S.-I. Shin, and M. A. Alexander, 2011: Natural variation in ENSO flavors. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2011GL047658, in press.



# “Central Pacific” (CP) and “Eastern Pacific” (EP) ENSO composites



Define:


**CP ENSO = Nino4 > 0.5  
and Nino4 > Nino3  
(aka “New ENSO”)**

**EP ENSO = Nino3 > 0.5  
and Nino3 > Nino4  
(aka “ENSO Classic”)**




# Increasing occurrence of CP ENSOs?


10-year averages of “CP/EP”  
occurrence ratio  
[red:HadISST, blue: NOAA ERSST  
v.2 (dark) v.3 (light)]



11-year running mean of Nino3-  
Nino4 correlations determined in a  
10-year sliding window  
[red:HadISST, blue: NOAA ERSSTv2]



The CP-El Niño/EP-El Niño occurrence ratio increases in “8 out of 11 A1B scenarios”.



S-W Yeh *et al.* *Nature* (2009)


- Does the apparent recent increase in CP ENSOs reflect decadal “base state” change?
- Does this reflect anthropogenic change?
- To answer these questions, we need to first construct a suitable *null hypothesis*:

**Observed changes in ENSO characteristics are consistent with natural seasonal variability with stationary statistics**


# “Multivariate Red Noise” null hypothesis

- Noise/response is local (or an index)
  - For example, air temperature anomalies force SST
  - use univariate (“local”) red noise:  
$$dx/dt = bx + f_s$$
 where  $x(t)$  is a scalar time series,  $b < 0$ ,  
and  $f_s$  is white noise
- Noise/response is non-local: patterns matter
  - For example, SST sensitive to atmospheric gradient
  - use multivariate (“patterns-based”) red noise:  
$$dx/dt = \mathbf{B}x + \mathbf{F}_s$$
 where  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a series of maps,  $\mathbf{B}$  is stable,  
and  $\mathbf{F}_s$  is white noise (maps)
- Determine  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_s$  using “Linear Inverse Model” (LIM)
  - $x$  is **SST/20 C depth/surface zonal wind stress** seasonal anomalies in Tropics, 1959-2000 (Newman et al. 2011, *Climate Dynamics*)
  - LIM determined from specified lag (3 months) as in AR1 model

Verifying  
multivariate red  
noise: LIM spectra



# Multivariate red noise captures “optimal” evolution of both ENSO types



SST: shading

Thermocline depth: contours


Zonal wind stress: vectors



# Optimal structures are relevant to observed EP and CP ENSO events


## EP-ENSO

## CP-ENSO





## Composite

### c) Warm Phase



### e) Cold Phase




Composite:  
Six months *after*  
a  $> \pm 1$  sigma  
projection (blue  
dots) on *either* the  
first or second  
optimal initial  
condition,  
constructed  
separately for  
warm and cold  
events

Green dots represent  
mixed EP-CP events

# Variations of CP/EP ENSOs driven by noise

“Increasing CP/EP Cases”:  
Two adjacent 60-yr segments where  
1) CP/EP ratio increases  
2)  $r(\text{Niño3}, \text{Niño4})$  decreases

a) 24000 yr Integration



24000 yr LIM “model run”:  $dx/dt = \mathbf{B}x + \mathbf{F}_s$

Values determined over 30-yr intervals spaced 10 years apart

# Conclusion




- **Multivariate (“patterns-based”) red noise is a useful null hypothesis for testing changes in the nature of ENSO**
  - Constrained merely by average simultaneous and 3-month lagged relationships between different locations and variables
- **Natural random variations are large enough to account for**
  - all observed variations of Nino3-Nino4 correlation
  - all observed variations of the CP-EP occurrence ratio
  - all projected differences found in the SRESA1B runs of all AR4 climate models
- **Apparent multidecadal “trends” during which these values increase or decrease are also consistent with red noise**
- **Different spatial patterns of “noise” can lead to the development of central vs. eastern Pacific ENSO events or various combinations thereof**

# How does this look in the CCSM4? (500 years of PI Control Run)


Warning: This part will not be satisfying

# CCSM4 and observed spectra with multivariate red noise “background”

- Nino3 strong, too peaked
- Nino4 way too strong, too peaked
- Nino3 and Nino4 too strongly related
- Multivariate red noise fit is very good




# Variations of CP/EP ENSOs driven by noise




21000 yr LIM (from CCSM4) “model run”:  $dx/dt = \mathbf{B}x + \mathbf{F}_s$   
Values determined over 30-yr intervals spaced 10 years apart

# EP cold composite

OBS




t=-6 months




t=0

CCSM4



t=-6 months





t=0

# Multivariate red noise captures “optimal” evolution of both ENSO types


## Optimal EP

## Optimal CP

Initial  
(t = 0)



Final  
(t = 6)



SST: shading

Thermocline depth: contours

Zonal wind stress: vectors



# Optimal structures are relevant to observed EP ENSO events in the CCSM4 (but not CP?)

Composite:  
Six months *after*  
a  $> \pm 1$  sigma  
projection (**blue  
dots**) on *either* the  
first or second  
optimal initial  
condition,  
constructed  
separately for  
warm and cold  
events

