AN UPDATE ON MODELING LAND-ICE/ OCEAN INTERACTIONS IN CESM Xylar Asay-Davis



OUTLINE

- Ice-shelf/Ocean Coupling
- Boundary Conditions
- Challenges with the Immersed Boundary Method
- A New Partial Cells Approach



ICE-SHELF/OCEAN COUPLING

Challenging Physics:

0

• Many length scales



ICE -SHELF/OCEAN COUPLING

Challenging Physics:

- Many length scales
- Many time scales



Pollard and DeConto 2009

ICE/OCEAN COUPLING

Challenging Physics:

- Many length scales
- Many time scales
- Many processes



ICE/OCEAN COUPLING

Challenging Numerics:

- Moving boundaries
- Anisotropic grids $(\Delta x \gg \Delta z)$
- Under-resolved physics





BOUNDARY LAYER PHYSICS

- Simplified version of McPhee 2008 boundary layer model for sea ice
- Gives heat, salt,
 momentum and mass fluxes at the interface
- Includes stratification, very important for rapid melting



BOUNDARY LAYER PHYSICS

- Unknown coefficients are calibrated based on measurements under Ronne Ice Shelf (Jenkins et al. 2010)
- More calibration data expected in coming years (Fimbul, Larsen C and George VI Ice Shelves)



Jenkins et al. 2010

IMMERSED BOUNDARY METHOD

- Handle complex, moving boundaries on constant grids (including calving front)
- Boundary conditions by forcing a fictitious flow
- Extrapolate fluid values from image points to ghost points using boundary conditions (mass, heat and salt fluxes)





Continuity:

 w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)



Continuity:

 w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)



Continuity:

 w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)



Continuity:

 w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)



Continuity:

 w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)



Continuity:

- w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)
- Normal velocity u_n found by fluxes in real flow only



U



Continuity:

- w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)
- Normal velocity u_n found by fluxes in real flow only



real flow (ocean)

Continuity:

- w at the top of the cell found by continuity (sum of fluxes is zero)
- Normal velocity u_n found by fluxes in real flow only
- Different flux areas on right and left
- u_n not an interpolation of w



real flow

Continuity:

• Partial cells do not solve the problem







- Partial cells do not solve the problem
- Interface is flat but fluxes areas are still different on left and right
- The flux areas are the same for the full box: u_n is not an interpolation of w and w



real flow

Continuity:

- Separate u's for real and fictitious parts of cut cells would solve *this* problem



- w_k could be computed so that interpolation of w_k and w_{k+1} gives u_n ≈ 0
- Allow divergence (ignore continuity) in fictitious flow



- But leads to very noisy w
- Wildly unphysical tracer advection in fictitious flow

Fictitious surface height:

• **not** constrained by barotropic solver



Fictitious surface height:

- **not** constrained by barotropic solver
- can be constrained by modifying fictitious horizontal velocities



Fictitious surface height:

- **not** constrained by barotropic solver
- can be constrained by modifying fictitious horizontal velocities
- Instability unless T/S are restored at the surface



It seems that the fictitious flow isn't worth the trouble!

- Interface by partial cells
- No fictitious flow
- Based on Losch 2008: static ice shelves in MITgcm



- Interface by partial cells
- No fictitious flow
- Based on Losch 2008: static ice shelves in MITgcm
- Salt/heat from melting/ freezing mixes into both partial cell and next cell below (reduces noise)



• Pros:

- Static interface tested with other ocean models
- Similar to bathymetry
- Same boundary conditions as IBM



• Pros:

- Static interface tested with other ocean models
- Similar to bathymetry
- Same boundary conditions as IBM
- Cons:
 - Tested only for static ice shelves
 - Stair-step geometry can lead to noisy fields
 - Pervasive modifications



 Vertical indexing in POP from KTT to KMT (rather than 1 to KMT) on T grid, similar on U grid



- Vertical indexing in POP from KTT to KMT (rather than 1 to KMT) on T grid, similar on U grid
- Modifications to grid cell thickness DZT/DZU and total thickness HT/HU



As interface moves:

• Recompute KTT/KTU, DZT/DZU and HT/HU



As interface moves:

- Recompute KTT/KTU, DZT/DZU and HT/HU
- Reinitialize barotropic solver, flux-limiting advection coefficients



As interface moves:

- Recompute KTT/KTU, DZT/DZU and HT/HU
- Reinitialize barotropic solver, flux-limiting advection coefficients
- Account for mass fluxes



"Wetting" and "drying" of cells:

 Tracers in new "wetted" cells conservatively distributed *from* neighboring cell(s)



"Wetting" and "drying" of cells:

- Tracers in new "wetted" cells conservatively distributed *from* neighboring cell(s)
- Tracers in old "dried" cells conservatively distributed *to* neighbor(s)





SUMMARY

- Unsolved problems with Immersed Boundary Method in POP (and probably ocean models generally)
- New approach to land-ice/ocean interface similar to partial bottom cells (no fictitious flow)
- Requires substantial changes to indexing in POP
- New methods required to handle "wetting" and "drying" of grid cells as boundary moves