Implementation and comparison of finite element methods for higher-order ice sheet models



Mauro Perego

in collaboration with FSU, LANL, Oak Ridge, Sandia

Main components of an ice model:

Ice flow equations (momentum and mass balance).

Model for the evolution of the boundaries. (thickness evolution equation)

Temperature equation.

Main components of an ice model:

Ice flow equations (momentum and mass balance).

LifeV (finite elements)

Model for the evolution of the boundaries. (thickness evolution equation)

Temperature equation.

Main components of an ice model:

Ice flow equations (momentum and mass balance).

LifeV (finite elements)

Model for the evolution of the boundaries. (thickness evolution equation)

MPAS (finite volumes)

Temperature equation.

"Reference" model: FULL STOKES1

Approximations based on their accuracy with respect to the ice-sheet aspect ratio δ

 $O(\delta)$ **FO**, Blatter-Pattyn first order model² (3D PDE, in horizontal velocities)

O(1) Zeroth order, depth integrated models:
 SIA, Shallow Ice Approximation (slow sliding regimes) ,
 SSA Shallow Shelf Approximation (2D PDE) (fast sliding regimes)

 $\simeq O(\delta)$ High order, depth integrated (2D) models: *L1L2*³, (L1L1)...

¹Gagliardini and Zwinger, 2008. The Cryosphere. ²Dukowicz, Price and Lipscomb, 2010. J. Glaciol. ³Schoof and Hindmarsh, 2010. Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math.

Implementation Overview

Trilinos:

- Parallel Data Structures (EPETRA)
- Parallel Linear Solvers (GMRES, CG...)
- Preconditioners (Multilevel, Multigrid, Incomplete LU)
- Nonlinear Solvers (NOX package: Newton, JFNK methods)

LifeV

Parallel, object oriented, C++ Finite Element Library:

- linear and quadratic finite elements
- assembling of finite element matrices
- handling of boundary conditions

Implementation Overview

Trilinos:

- Parallel Data Structures (EPETRA)
- Parallel Linear Solvers (GMRES, CG...)
- Preconditioners (Multilevel, Multigrid, Incomplete LU)
- Nonlinear Solvers (NOX package: Newton, JFNK methods)

LifeV

Parallel, object oriented, C++ Finite Element Library:

- linear and quadratic finite elements
- assembling of finite element matrices
- handling of boundary conditions

TODO

MPAS (land ice model):

- Voronoi unstructured grids
- Evolution equation solvers (temperature and thickness equation)

Why Finite Elements?

Finite Element features:

• Particularly suited for s.p.d. elliptic PDEs, as the ones occurring in FO, L1L2, SSA models

• Complex geometries (unstructured, nonuniform grids can be used)

• Several boundary conditions (free slip, free stress, sliding b.c., coulomb friction) are naturally handled (no need to use ghost cells)

• Accuracy: good description of the boundary and possibility of using high order elements.

ISIMP-HOM Benchmarks: Test C

The geometry is a parallelepiped with square base. Horizontal length L.

 $s(x, y) = -x \tan(\alpha)$ b(x, y) = s(x, y) - 1

elevation bedrock

Boundary conditions: stress free at the elevation sliding at the bedrock

$$-\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = u + u \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}y\right)$$



ISIMP-HOM Benchmarks: Test C

The geometry is a parallelepiped with square base. Horizontal length L.

 $s(x,y) = -x \tan(\alpha)$ b(x,y) = s(x,y) - 1

elevation bedrock

Boundary conditions: stress free at the elevation sliding at the bedrock

$$-\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = u + u \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}y\right)$$



surface velocity



ISIMP-HOM: Test C

Surface velocity



Test C: convergence of the nonlinear method

Solve F(u) = 0. Newton method: $J^k (\delta u)^{k+1} = -F(u^k)$. (NOX library)

The exact Jacobian matrix must be assembled at each nonlinear iteration.

In order to increase robustness of Newton method, the Newton step is halved to achieve monotonic convergence.

Test E: Glacier d'Arolla

Test E: Glacier d'Arolla comparison between structured and unstructured adaptive grids

To obtain the same accuracy of the unstructured mesh, we need a structured mesh which is seven times bigger.

Towards realistic simulations: Greenland, sliding case

Comparisons between different models

Future development

• Interfacing with MPAS

• Code Optimization, testing and improving scalability

- Comparisons on real geometries
 - FO vs L1L2
 - Linear vs Quadratic elements
 - Structured vs unstructured, adaptive meshes

Acknowledgment

J. Burkardt, M. Gunzburger (FSU) B. Lipscomb, S. Price, X. Davis, T. Ringler (LANL) K. Evans, J. Nichols (Oak Ridge)

A. Salinger (Sandia)

Velocity equations (momentum and mass balance) $-\nabla \cdot \sigma = \rho \mathbf{g}$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$,with $\sigma = \tau - pI = 2\mu\dot{\varepsilon} - pI$,LifeVwhere μ viscosity, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ shear rate(finite elements)

Thickness (H) evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = H_{flux} - \nabla \cdot \int_{z} \mathbf{u} \, dz$$

Temperature equation

$$\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) - \rho c \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T + 2\dot{\varepsilon}\sigma$$

June 22 2011, Breckenridge

ISIP-HOM Test A surface velocity

L = 40 km

0.00 16.2 ^{u (m/a)} 32.3 48.5 64.7

L = 160 km

ISIP-HOM Test A surface velocity

First Order Equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ 2\mu \left(2\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ 2\mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) = \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial y},$$

where s is the ice surface.

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}A^{-\frac{1}{n}}\dot{\varepsilon}_e^{\left(\frac{1}{n}-1\right)} \qquad \dot{\varepsilon}_e = \sqrt{\dot{\varepsilon}_{xx}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{yy}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xx}\dot{\varepsilon}_{yy} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xy}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xz}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{yz}^2}$$

FO is a nonlinear system of elliptic equations in the horizontal velocities.

Typical boundary conditions:

- free stress at the surface,
- no-slip or sliding boundary conditions at the interface.

First Order Equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ 2\mu \left(2\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ 2\mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) = \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial y},$$

where s is the ice surface.

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}A^{-\frac{1}{n}}\dot{\varepsilon}_e^{\left(\frac{1}{n}-1\right)} \qquad \dot{\varepsilon}_e = \sqrt{\dot{\varepsilon}_{xx}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{yy}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xx}\dot{\varepsilon}_{yy} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xy}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{xz}^2 + \dot{\varepsilon}_{yz}^2}$$

FO is a nonlinear system of elliptic equations in the horizontal velocities.

Typical boundary conditions:

- free stress at the surface,
- no-slip or sliding boundary conditions at the interface.

Weak formulation

FO weak formulation

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \int_{\Omega} 2\mu \dot{\varepsilon}_{1}(u, v) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\beta}} \beta u \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\Omega} \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} = 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} 2\mu \dot{\varepsilon}_{2}(u, v) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\beta}} \beta v \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\Omega} \rho g \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Nonlinear "stiff"

robin boundary condition for the sliding term

L1L2 weak formulation

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left(2\bar{\mu}\,\dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b}(u,\,v)\cdot\nabla_{\parallel}\varphi_{1} + \beta u\varphi_{1} \right) d\Sigma = -\int_{\Sigma}\rho gH\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}\varphi_{1}d\Sigma$$
$$\int_{\Sigma} \left(2\bar{\mu}\,\dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b}(u,\,v)\cdot\nabla_{\parallel}\varphi_{2} + \beta v\varphi_{2} \right) d\Sigma = -\int_{\Sigma}\rho gH\frac{\partial s}{\partial y}\varphi_{2}d\Sigma$$

FO, Jacobian operator

$$\begin{split} J_{\mathcal{F}}^{k}(\delta_{u},\delta_{v}) &= \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \int_{\Omega} 2(\mu')^{k} \left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{u} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{2}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{v} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{1}^{k} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} + \\ \int_{\Omega} 2\mu^{k} \dot{\varepsilon}_{1}(\delta_{u},\delta_{v}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\beta}} \beta \delta_{u} \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{s} \\ \int_{\Omega} 2(\mu')^{k} \left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{u} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{2}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{v} \right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{2}^{k} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} + \\ \int_{\Omega} 2\mu^{k} \dot{\varepsilon}_{2}(\delta_{u},\delta_{v}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\beta}} \beta \delta_{v} \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{s} \\ \end{array} \right], \\ (\mu')^{k} \coloneqq \left. \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \dot{\varepsilon}_{e}^{2}} \right|_{(\dot{\varepsilon}_{e} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{e}^{k})} = \frac{1-n}{4n} A^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\dot{\varepsilon}_{e}^{k})^{\left(\frac{1}{n}-3\right)} = \frac{1-n}{2n} \frac{\mu^{k}}{(\dot{\varepsilon}_{e}^{k})^{2}} \end{split}$$

L1L2 model (Schoof Hindmarsh 2010)

Approximate stress and strain tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_e^2 &\approx |\tau|_{\parallel}^2 + |\tilde{\tau}|_{\perp}^2 \\ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}|_{z=b} &= A \left(|\tau|_{\parallel}^2 + |\tilde{\tau}|_{\perp}^2 \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \tau_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{x, y\} \end{aligned}$$

Solve 2D equations:

$$-\nabla \cdot (2\bar{\mu}\,\dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b}) + \beta u_b = -\rho g H \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}$$
$$-\nabla \cdot (2\bar{\mu}\,\dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b}) + \beta v_b = -\rho g H \frac{\partial s}{\partial y}$$

$$\bar{\mu}(x,y) = \int_{b}^{s} \mu(\left|\dot{\varepsilon}_{b}\right|_{\parallel}, \left|\tilde{\tau}\right|_{\perp}) dz$$

Recover velocity:

$$u = u_b + 2 \int_b^{\tilde{}} A \tau_e^{n-1} \tau_{xz} dz, \quad v = v_b + 2 \int_b^{\tilde{}} A \tau_e^{n-1} \tau_{yz} dz.$$

L1L2, Jacobian operator

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{\Sigma} 2(\bar{\mu}')^{k} \left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{u} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{v}\right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} + \\ \int_{\Sigma} 2\bar{\mu}^{k} \dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b} (\delta_{u}, \delta_{v}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Sigma} \beta \delta_{u} \varphi_{1} d\mathbf{x} \\ \int_{\Sigma} 2(\bar{\mu}')^{k} \left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{1,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{u} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \delta_{v}\right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b}^{k} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} + \\ \int_{\Sigma} 2\bar{\mu}^{k} \dot{\varepsilon}_{2,b} (\delta_{u}, \delta_{v}) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Sigma} \beta \delta_{v} \varphi_{2} d\mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

,

$$(\bar{\mu}')^k := \frac{\partial \bar{\mu}}{\partial |\dot{\varepsilon}_b|_{\parallel}^2} \bigg|_{(\dot{\varepsilon}_b = \dot{\varepsilon}_b^k)} = \frac{1-n}{2A^2} \int_b^s \mu^k \frac{\left(|\tau^k|_{\parallel}^2 + |\tilde{\tau}|_{\perp}^2\right)^{1-n}}{n \, |\tau^k|_{\parallel}^2 + |\tilde{\tau}|_{\perp}^2} \, dz.$$

Test E: Glacier d'Arolla

Shear stress at the bedrock

June 22 2011, Breckenridge

Test E: Glacier d'Arolla Comparison between linear and quadratic FE

