# **High Resolution CAM-Chem**



Louisa Emmons - NCAR Francis Vitt, Xiaoyan Jiang, Simone Tilmes, Jean-Francois Lamarque Ozone – 0-2 km avg - June 13-22, 2008



CAM-chem - 0.47° x 0.63°

Animation by Tim Scheitlin, CISL

## **CAM-chem configuration**

- CAM-4
- MOZART-4 chemistry, bulk aerosols
- 0.47° x 0.63°
- GEOS-5 specified dynamics (56 levels)
- Not with new MEGAN (waiting for land IC files)
- 2008 ARCTAS-POLMIP emissions

#### Daily averages for June 14, 2008

Peak values are higher over source regions

CO Ozone CAM-chem/2deg - 14 June 2008 - CO CAM-chem/2deg - 14 June 2008 - O3 90 100 110 120 130 140 2° CAM-chem/0.5deg - 14 June 2008 - CO 90 100 110 120 130 140 CAM-chem/0.5deg - 14 June 2008 - O3 90 100 110 120 130 140 0.5° <del>6</del> 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 ppbv ppbv 

#### Cities are much more pronounced in fine resolution

NO

NO2



Spots of increased O3 production and loss in high resolution run over sources Due to more concentrated NOx, affecting OH and HO2



#### **Comparison for Shanghai, China**

- Shanghai is primarily in one 0.5° grid box, but straddles two 2° boxes
- Significant differences seen between 0.5° and either 2°
- Eastern 2° box is mostly over ocean so has reduced  $O_3$  deposition doesn't go to 0 at night





#### Shanghai (cont.)

More emissions in eastern 2° box, but is mostly over ocean

- Wide range of values from all of the 0.5° boxes in the 2° box
- On average 2° resolution matches average of finer resolution

But averages are very different from Shanghai 0.5°box



80 60 NO2 [ppł Black line: 0.5° box at Shanghai Blue dotted lines: All 0.5° points in 2° box. 20 Blue thick dashed: mean of 0.5° points 18 14 16 20 22 Red: 2° box (east of Shanghai) Day of June 2008





#### **Similarly for Chicago**



NO2 is slightly less and O3 is higher

CAM-chem-0.5deg - 14 June 2008 - NO



### CAM-chem – free-running - 2° vs 1°



### CAM-chem – free-running - 2° vs 1°

Climate changes with resolution – slight changes in Temperature Differences in LAI, Temperature and cloud cover affect biogenic emissions



## **Future Work**

Free-running CAM-chem at 0.5° Use 1° land model IC regrid to 0.5°

Specified dynamics at 0.5° With new online MEGAN for biogenic emissions

Compare free-running and specified dynamics to understand effects of changes in meteorology with resolution

Use for analysis of observations:

 DC3 – Thunderstorms and convective transport in US (May-Jun 2012)
ARCTAS – fires in Canada & California; effect on long-range transport to Arctic (Apr-Jul 2008)
Brazil – tower near Manaus (on-going)

Field campaign design of urban-biogenic interactions: Southeast US (SOAS)

S. Korea