



Ice Biogeochemistry in CICE.v5

Nicole Jeffery, Scott Elliott and Elizabeth Hunke Los Alamos National Lab

> Clara Deal and Meibing Jin IARC

19th Annual CESM Workshop







Why model ice algae?



19th Annual CESM Workshop

16 – 19 June 2014

Breckenridge, CO





What's in CICE.v5

- Bottom layer Biogeochemistry appropriate for Arctic
- Bio-grid

19th Annual CESM Workshop

• Brine height tracer









What's Not (yet) in CICE.v5

- A brine-dynamics parameterization of vertical tracer transport
- Biogeochemistry appropriate for upper and interior ice algal communities or Antarctic communities
- Aerosol deposition and migration via brinedynamics

In development with Scott Elliott, Elizabeth Hunke, and Shanlin Wang





Bottom/Skeletal Layer BGC

Los Alamos

MATIONAL LABORATORY



Breckenridge, CO

CICE.v5 (1982-1992)

- 10 year spin-up, 1992 (Deal et al, JGR 2011)
- WOA Nitrate and Silicate climatology Instant restoring.
- Ice Ecosystem: Algal N, Nit, Am and Sil

Value

- Estimates of ice algal chlorophyll and production in the Arctic
- Sensitivity of ice bgc to ice physics, ice-ocean fluxes, ocean nutrient concentrations

1992 (Comparison with Deal et al, JGR 2011)

Deal

Los Alamos

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Ice Algal Biomass (mg Chl m⁻²) 1992 03 19

80.0

40.0

20.0

10.0

5.0

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

16 – 19 June 2014

Ice Algal Biomass (mg Chl m⁻²) 1992 05 14

Breckenridge, CO.

Breckenridge, CO

Greenland-Iceland-Norwegian (GIN) Seas

Canadian Archipelago, Baffin Bay

Beaufort Sea shelf

Bering Sea

Northern Bering Strait and Chukchi Sea shelf

East Siberian Sea shelf Laptev Sea shelf

Kara Sea shelf

Barents Sea shelf

19th Annual CESM Workshop

Figure 5. Simulated total primary production within arctic sea ice (Tg C) during 1992. For abbreviations see caption for Figure 3.

Table 1. Arctic Sea Ice Primary Production Averaged Over Ice Area in the Ten Study Regions (g C $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$) and for the Total Arctic (Tg C yr^{-1})

Arctic Subregion	Value Clara March	applilupeg = KSP Reference, Comment	This Study Value
Arctic Ocean Basins	6 ^a	Gosselin et al. [1997]	2.8
Bering Sea	0.2-1.5	Alexander and Chapman [1981]	4.2
	0.2-0.4	McRoy and Goering [1974]	
Chukchi Sea shelf	1-2	Gradinger [2009]	1.5
Barents Sea	0.2–5.3 ^a	Hegseth et al. [1998]	0.6
	1.5	Vetrov and Romankevich [2004]	
Beaufort Sea	0.7	Horner and Schrader [1982], nearshore	0.5 (shelf)
Kara Sea		Conv to Clinhoard	0.6
Laptev Sea			0.4
East Siberian Sea	4.7	Petrova et al. [2004]	0.6
Canadian Arctic	5	Bergmann et al. [1991], Barrow Strait area	2.7 (>62°N)
GIN Seas	Snapshot delay: No delay 🕂		1.3
Total Arctic	6 9–70	Subba Rao and Platt [1984], young ice Legendre et al. [1992]	15.1

^aThese values include estimated production from subice algae in addition to ice bottom algae.

Breckenridge, CC

Options/Sensitivities

Skeletal Ecosystem:

• Nitrate/Algal N minimum

Biochemistry parameters:

• Internally defined for Arctic Bottom community

*Thermodynamics: BL99, mushy layer (Turner 2013)

*Ice-Ocean flux parameterization

- 'default' : constant piston velocity if ice growth is positive
- 'Jin2006'

*Ocean nutrients: Demand of ML nutrients? (still needs coupling with ocean biogeochemistry)

19th Annual CESM Workshop 16

Using two thermodynamic schemes

New—Mushy 1) Layer

CICE.v4-BL99 2) Fixed salinity

19th Annual CESM Workshop

16 – 19 June 2014

Breckenridge, CO

1992 (Default - 'Jin2006')

April Chla

March Chla

Default-Jin2006

Los Alamos

NATIONAL LABORATORY

EST 1943

May Chla

Breckenridge, CO.

19th Annual CESM Workshop

What is the ice algal demand for M.L. nutrients? % of M.L. Nitrate/Silicate required by ice algae in April

19th Annual CESM Workshop

Los Alamos

16 – 19 June 2014

Breckenridge, CO.

Is the ice bgc sensitive to M.L. values? Doubling M.L. Nutrients?

19th Annual CESM Workshop

16 – 19 June 2014

Breckenridge, CO

Office of

Science

Doubling M.L. Nutrients?

Los Alamos

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Breckenridge, CO

Conclusions

• There is bottom layer biogeochemistry in CICE.v5

Los Alamos

- Algal N, Nitrate, Ammonium, Silicate, DMSPp, DMSPd and DMS
- Appropriate for estimates of Arctic algal production

(currently underestimates chla and production)

- Two ocean-ice nutrient flux options (Jin2006 depends on ice growth rate)
- Ocean M.L. bgc will impact the ice bgc.
- Ice bgc will impact polar ocean M.L. bgc
- Ice-ocean coupling of bottom ice bgc will directly transfer to coupling of the vertical ice bgc.

9th Annual CESM Workshop 16 – 19 June 2014

(c) Porosity

Simulations of Ice Algae in the Weddell Sea from a simple Nitrate-Algal model in CICE

2. (Fig. 2) Nitrate in young ice mirrors salinity until irradiance levels support algal growth.

3. Currently, higher complexity ice algal models (DOM, DMS, Silicate, PON...) are in the development and testing phase in CICE.

 (Fig. 1) Algae first accumulate in the upper ice depleting the snow-brine intrusion of nitrate.
Bottom accumulation follows with increasing irradiance.

What is the ice algal demand for M.L. nutrients?

19th Annual CESM Workshop

Los Alamos

NATIONAL LABORATORY

16 – 19 June 2014

Breckenridge, CO.