

Clouds, Containers & CESM

Brian Dobbins
NCAR CISL/TDD/ASAP

June 19th, 2019



Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- What does the cloud offer CESM?
- What do containers offer CESM?
- Making a containerized CESM RTE
- Additional benefits
- Conclusions

What are we *actually* trying to understand?

- People always ask two questions:
 - What *is* the cloud?
 - What *are* containers?
- These aren't the right questions to ask! Too broad!
 - “What's the answer to life, the universe and everything?”
- What we want to know is:
 - How can these technologies help scientists *run CESM*?

Successful Projects

- *We've already* used the AWS cloud for projects:
 - AGU & AMS hands-on tutorials
 - University-based science runs on AWS (August 2019)
- And recently containers too:
 - SCAM container – CESM & Jupyter Lab fused together
 - Same environment runs on Mac/Windows/Linux!
- *These experiences paved the way for the next steps.*

What's needed to run CESM?

- At the highest level, we need resources:
 - Compute
 - Storage
- We also need some software:
 - Compilers, libraries, queuing system, etc.
- And we (very often) need *expertise*:
 - Installing CESM is *non-trivial*.
 - (Training is also important, but isn't covered here!)

Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- **What does the cloud offer CESM?**
- What do containers offer CESM?
- Making a containerized CESM RTE
- Additional benefits
- Conclusions

What does the Cloud offer CESM?

- At the highest level?
 - Compute & Storage
 - We can also pre-configure the software, but with notable limitations.
- This sounds *great!* What's the catch?!
 - Performance at scale
 - Cloud complexity
 - Price
 - Portability

Cloud Limitations - Price

- On-demand pricing is expensive!
 - C5N 18xLarge in US-East-1 = \$3.88/hr (\$34K/yr)
- Spot pricing helps:
 - C5N 18xLarge in US-East-1 = \$1.1659/hr (\$10.2K/yr)
 - (But 'spot' pricing fluctuates, and jobs can be killed!)
- Price also depends on time-to-solution:
 - Intel Fortran can be ~2x faster than gfortran
(But we can't distribute the compiler!)

Cloud Limitations - Portability

- No compatibility between different providers:
 - Need to maintain master image *per provider*
 - Images aren't compatible with local clusters either
- Each *region* needs its own copy of an image
 - AWS alone has 16 regions!
- Big maintenance issue for us!

Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- What does the cloud offer CESM?
- **What do containers offer CESM?**
- Making a containerized CESM RTE
- Additional benefits
- Conclusions

What do containers offer CESM?

- An application environment that is:
 - Portable
 - Pre-configured
- Well, this *really* sounds great! What's the catch?
 - MPI performance & portability
 - Development vs. Run-time Environments

Containers - MPI Performance & Portability

- MPICH ABI Compatibility Initiative
 - Software guarantees *run-time* library compatibility (Includes Intel MPI, Cray MPI, MVAPICH, etc.)
- Example: Build CESM in container w/ MPICH, then:
 - Run with vendor-tuned MPI & get native performance!
 - Works, but still not automatic (except: Shifter on Cray)

Containers – Development Environments

- CESM 2.1 requires a *development* environment:
 - Need to compile POP, CICE for specific PE counts
 - Source mods
- Problems:
 - Dev environments increase container size
 - License issues for the Intel compiler
 - *Need to modify & save container per case*
(Not allowed at some centers.)

Containers – Runtime Environments (RTE)

- Everything needed to run is provided:
 - No compilation
 - No issues with compiler licenses
 - Smaller size
 - Easier to use

Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- What does the cloud offer CESM?
- What do containers offer CESM?
- **Making a containerized CESM RTE**
- Additional benefits
- Conclusions

CESM RTE – POP & CICE

- Compile-time dependencies in POP:
 - POP -> MOM, which doesn't have these dependencies
 - Alternatively, modify POP?
- Compile-time dependencies in CICE:
 - Modify the code – what's the performance hit?

CESM RTE - SourceMods

- What if we treat all components as ‘plug-ins’?
 - Build *shared libraries*, not static ones
 - Coupler *dynamically loads* components at start-up
- Development environment ensures compatibility
 - Intel & GCC are compatible
 - Plugins can be *shared*

CESM RTE – What would it look like?

- `cesm.exe`:
 - Runs on any processor counts
 - Dynamic load of precompiled components
- Out of the box, a single executable runs *all* cases:
 - We build CESM just once
 - Intel-built objects can be shared - better performance!

Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- What does the cloud offer CESM?
- What do containers offer CESM?
- Making a containerized CESM RTE
- **Additional benefits**
- Conclusions

Additional Benefits

- Reproducibility:
 - Single compiler, flags and math libraries
 - (Caveats: MPI collective orderings & CPU optimizations)
- Debugging:
 - Fewer compiler / library / OS issues to solve
 - The *exact* environment can be reproduced anywhere

(And with additional work, *run-time* load balancing.)



Overview

- What are we *actually* trying to understand?
- What does the cloud offer CESM?
- What do containers offer CESM?
- Making a containerized CESM RTE
- Additional benefits
- **Conclusions**

Conclusions

- The cloud lets us reach more scientists with CESM:
 - Hardware & storage on-demand
 - Expertise via a preconfigured environment
- Containers offer a portable, preconfigured CESM
 - But *development* environments are a poor fit
- A CESM RTE container gives us:
 - Portable, performant CESM
 - Can run on multiple clouds *and* university systems
 - Easier maintenance / user support

Questions?

Brian Dobbins
bdobbins@ucar.edu

(Also, in talks with CU on a Cloud/Container meet-up for research computing.)

MPICH ABI Compatibility on Cheyenne

Relative times for OSU 'Reduce' MPI Benchmark
(16 nodes, 1 rank/node)

